

## A remark on the cohomology group and the dimension of product spaces

By Yukihiro KODAMA

(Received Dec. 8, 1967)

1. In §4 of the paper [4] several theorems concerning the dimension of product spaces were given. Proofs of all theorems except Theorem 5 depend heavily on Künneth formula which was proved by R. C. O'Neil [7]. However this formula is false. It is known by a counter example given by G. Bredon (see 2). The purpose of this paper is devoted to correct some of theorems in §4 of [4] and to prove the related results. However it is not known whether Theorems 6-9 hold or not though they are proved partly in this paper.

Throughout this paper all spaces are Hausdorff and have finite covering dimension and we mean by  $H^*$  the unrestricted Čech cohomology group.

2. R. C. O'Neil [7] gave the following theorems.

A. Let  $G$  be an abelian group. If  $X \times Y$  is paracompact, then

$$H^n(X \times Y : G) \cong \sum_{q=0}^n H^q(X : H^{n-q}(Y : G)).$$

B. Let  $L$  be a principal ideal domain. If  $X$  is compact and  $Y$  is paracompact, then there is an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \sum_{q=0}^n H^q(X : L) \otimes_L H^{n-q}(Y : L) &\rightarrow H^n(X \times Y : L) \\ &\rightarrow \sum_{q=0}^n H^{q+1}(X : L) *_L H^{n-q}(Y : L) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

The following example was given by G. Bredon. Let  $X$  be a solenoid, so  $X$  is a 1-dimensional compact metric space and  $H^1(X) \cong R$  (=the group of all rational numbers). For  $n = 2, 3, \dots$ , let  $Y_n$  be a 2-dimensional finite simplicial polytope such that  $H^2(Y_n) \cong Z_n$  (=the cyclic group of order  $n$ ). Let  $Y$  be a disjoint union of  $Y_n$ ,  $n = 2, 3, \dots$ . Then  $Y$  is a 2-dimensional locally finite polytope and  $H^2(Y) \cong \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} Z_n$ . Since  $X$  is compact, by Peterson [8: Appendix], we have  $H^3(X \times Y) \cong \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} H^3(X \times Y_n) \cong \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} H^1(X) \otimes H^2(Y_n) = 0$  and  $H^1(X : H^2(Y)) \cong H^1(X) \otimes H^2(Y) \neq 0$ . Thus, both theorems A and B are false.