On the field of moduli of an abelian variety with complex multiplication

Dedicated to Professor Y. Akizuki on his sixtieth birthday

by Koji DOI

(Received Sept. 20, 1962)

The theory of complex multiplication of abelian varieties has been established by A. Weil, G. Shimura, and Y. Taniyama ([3], to be referred as [CM]). The main parts of them, i.e. the so-called construction of class-fields are concerned with simple abelian varieties (primitive CM-type). Naturally as the next step the extension of the theory to the case of composite varieties should be considered.

Along this line we shall begin with a very simple case, assuming the variety to be a direct product $B_1 \times \cdots \times B_h$ of simple abelian varieties B_i of the same CM-type. The result of this note consists in Main Theorem of §4 and Corollary to it. Our result implies the

THEOREM. The field of moduli of the product $B_1 \times \cdots \times B_h$ (with respect to any polarization) is contained in the class-field obtained from the field of moduli of the factor B.

This means that we can not get any different class-field to that of primitive CM-type from such product.

I would like to express my heartfelt gratitude to Prof. Shimura for his kind guidance and criticism during the preparation of this note.

We shall use the same notations and terminologies as in [CM].

§1. Let $(K; \{\varphi_i\})$ be a primitive CM-type and [K; Q] = 2n. We shall consider a couple (A, θ) formed by an abelian variety A defined over C and an isomorphism θ of K into $\mathcal{A}_0(A)$. Let h be a positive integer. We say that (A, θ) is of type $(K; \{\varphi_i\}; h)$ if the following two conditions are satisfied.

(A 1) dim A = nh.

(A 2) For any element $\alpha \in K$, the analytic representation of $\theta(\alpha)$ (cf. [CM; § 3.2.]) is equivalent to the diagonal matrix whose diagonal elements are exactly *h* times $\alpha^{\varphi_1}, \dots, \alpha^{\varphi_n}$. If (A, θ) is of type $(K; \{\varphi_i\}; 1)$ then (A, θ) is of type $(K; \{\varphi_i\}; 1)$ in the sense of [CM, § 5.2]. Therefore we put $(K; \{\varphi_i\}; 1) = (K; \{\varphi_i\})$.

PROPOSITION 1. If (A, θ) is of type $(K; \{\varphi_i\}; h)$, then A is isogenous to a