

## Projective modules over weakly noetherian rings

By Yukitoshi HINOHARA

(Received May 31, 1962)

**Introduction.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with a unit element. Then the space of prime ideals of  $R$  with the Zariski topology is called the *prime spectrum* of  $R$  which is denoted by  $\text{spec}(R)$ , and the subspace of  $\text{spec}(R)$  of all maximal ideals of  $R$  is called the *maximal spectrum* of  $R$  which is denoted by  $m\text{-spec}(R)$ . The dimension of such a space is the supremum of the lengths of chains of irreducible closed subsets. (See [1] and [10].) For brevity, we shall call a ring *weakly noetherian* if  $m\text{-spec}(R)$  satisfies the descending chain condition on closed subsets. Our main objective in this paper is to prove

**THEOREM.** *If  $R$  is a weakly noetherian ring and  $\dim(m\text{-spec}(R))$  is finite, then any projective  $R$ -module is a direct sum of finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules.*

From this we can easily deduce that, over a commutative indecomposable semilocal ring<sup>1)</sup>, any projective module is free<sup>2)</sup>.

Now let  $R$  be a commutative ring,  $M$  an  $R$ -module. Then  $M$  is called *faithfully flat* if  $M$  satisfies any one of the following equivalent conditions (see § 6.4 [5] p. 57):

- (a) A sequence of  $R$ -modules  $N' \rightarrow N \rightarrow N''$  is exact if and only if  $M \otimes_R N' \rightarrow M \otimes_R N \rightarrow M \otimes_R N''$  is exact.
- (b)  $M$  is flat and, for any  $R$ -module  $N$ , the relation  $M \otimes_R N = (0)$  implies  $N = (0)$ .
- (c)  $M$  is flat and, for any homomorphism  $v: N \rightarrow N'$  of  $R$ -modules, the relation  $1_M \otimes v = 0$  implies  $v = 0$  where  $1_M$  is the identity automorphism of  $M$ .
- (d)  $M$  is flat and, for any maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $R$ ,  $\mathfrak{m}M \neq M$ .

To prove the main theorem, we shall prove that, if  $R$  is an indecomposable weakly noetherian ring, any projective module ( $\neq (0)$ ) is faithfully flat.

We shall always be dealing with rings with unit element and unitary modules. Further, unless the contrary is stated, "module" means "left module".  $A$  denotes a ring (not always commutative) and  $R$  denotes a commutative ring.

---

1) A ring is called indecomposable if it has no non-trivial idempotents. A commutative ring is called semilocal if the number of the maximal ideals is finite.

2) See [7].