

Closedness of some subgroups in linear algebraic groups

By T. MIYATA, T. ODA and K. OTSUKA

(Received June 29, 1961)

(Revised Feb. 10, 1962)

Let M, N be closed subgroups of a linear algebraic group. It is mentioned in [1], that D. Hertzig proved that the commutator group $[M, N]$ is closed if M, N are normal. (A proof is given in [2] 3-04 Proposition 1. This fact brings about some simplification of Borel's arguments as noted in [1].) We shall give in this paper a necessary and sufficient condition for M, N to the effect that $[M, N]$ be closed, (Theorem 8 below,) from which the result of Hertzig easily follows (cf. [2], 3), and which will have also some interesting consequences. (Corollaries 9, 10, 11, below.)

In this paper we use the following conventions:

The subgroup generated by G_1, G_2 is denoted by $G_1 \vee G_2$, and the connected component of the identity of an algebraic group G is denoted by G_0 .

The authors owe a great deal to M. Nagata, who gave us many useful suggestions. We offer our cordial thanks to him.

LEMMA 1. *Let G be an algebraic group and let S_1, \dots, S_m be its closed irreducible subsets. Let $f_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_m) (\lambda \in A)$ be words with $x_i \in S_i$, such that for suitable $(a_1^\lambda, \dots, a_m^\lambda) \in S_1 \times \dots \times S_m$, $f_\lambda(a_1^\lambda, \dots, a_m^\lambda) = 1$ for each $\lambda \in A$. Then the subgroup H of G generated by $f_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_m)$, where (x_1, \dots, x_m) ranges over $S_1 \times \dots \times S_m$ and λ ranges over A , is closed and connected.*

PROOF. For each $\lambda \in A$, let C_λ be the set of all $f_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ with $x_i \in S_i$. Then the set $C_{\lambda_1} \dots C_{\lambda_t}$ of products $y_1 \dots y_t$ ($y_i \in C_{\lambda_i}$) is the image of a rational map from $(S_1 \times \dots \times S_m) \times \dots \times (S_1 \times \dots \times S_m)$ (t -ple product) into G , whence $C_{\lambda_1} \dots C_{\lambda_t}$ is a thick set ('ensemble épais' cf. [1]), i. e. the closure $C(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t)$ of $C_{\lambda_1} \dots C_{\lambda_t}$ is irreducible and $C_{\lambda_1} \dots C_{\lambda_t}$ contains a non-empty open subset of $C(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t)$. Since $1 \in C_\lambda$, we see that $C_{\lambda_1} \dots C_{\lambda_t} \subseteq C_{\lambda_1} \dots C_{\lambda_t} C_{\lambda_{t+1}}$, whence $C(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t) \subseteq C(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t, \lambda_{t+1})$. By the fact that $C(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t)$ are irreducible subvarieties of G , we see that there is a $C(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t)$, say $C(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_u)$, such that every $C(\lambda'_1, \dots, \lambda'_t)$ is contained in $C(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_u)$. ($C(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t)$ which has maximum dimension is a required one). Then $C_{\lambda_1} \dots C_{\lambda_u} \subseteq H \subseteq C(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_u)$. $C(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_u)$ is the closure of H , hence is a group. Since H contains a non-empty open subset of $C(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_u)$ (because $C_{\lambda_1} \dots C_{\lambda_u}$ does), we see that $H = C(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_u)$. This completes the proof.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let M and N be closed subgroups of an algebraic group G ,*