

Approximation by reduced fractions

By Patrick GALLAGHER

(Received Nov. 1, 1960)

1. Let $\{\delta(n)\}$ be a sequence of non-negative numbers. J. W. S. Cassels [1] proved that the set of real numbers x in $0 \leq x < 1$ for which

$$(1) \quad \left| x - \frac{m}{n} \right| < \delta(n)$$

for infinitely many integers m, n has measure 0 or 1. R. J. Duffin and A. C. Schaeffer [2] had shown that for some sequences $\{\delta(n)\}$, this set has measure 1 while the set of x for which (1) holds for infinitely many relatively prime integers m, n has measure 0. Using an extension of Cassels' method, we will prove

THEOREM 1. *For each sequence of non-negative numbers $\{\delta(n)\}$, the set \mathcal{E} of x in $0 \leq x < 1$ for which*

$$(2) \quad \left| x - \frac{m}{n} \right| < \delta(n), \quad (m, n) = 1$$

for infinitely many m, n has measure 0 or 1.

We may suppose in the proof that $\delta(n) \rightarrow 0$. Otherwise each x satisfies (2) for infinitely many n . In fact, suppose that $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ is a sequence for which $\delta(n_\nu) \geq \delta > 0$. For (2) to be satisfied with $n = n_\nu$, it is sufficient for there to exist an m prime to n_ν in the interval $|n_\nu x - m| < n_\nu \delta$. The existence of such an m , for all x and all large ν , follows from the following lemma.

LEMMA 1. *The length L_n of the longest interval of consecutive integers not prime to n satisfies $L_n = o(n)$.*

PROOF. Let $(m, n) > 1$ for $m_1 < m \leq m_2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{m_1 < m \leq m_2} \sum_{d|(m, n)} \mu(d) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \sum_{d|m, m_1 < m \leq m_2} 1 \\ &= \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \left(\left[\frac{m_2}{d} \right] - \left[\frac{m_1}{d} \right] \right) = (m_2 - m_1) \sum_{d|n} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} + O(d(n)) \\ &= (m_2 - m_1) \frac{\phi(n)}{n} + O(d(n)). \end{aligned}$$

Here $d(n)$ is the number of divisors of n . It is known that $d(n) = O(n^\epsilon)$, and $n\phi(n)^{-1} = O(n^\epsilon)$. Choosing m_1 and m_2 so that $m_2 - m_1 = L_n$, we have $L_n = o(n)$.