Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan

## A remark on Hilbert's Nullstellensatz.

## By Harley FLANDERS

## (Received Nov. 13, 1953)

In [3] Zariski gave a proof of the Nullstellensatz based on the following lemma.

Let k and K be fields such that  $K = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Then K is a finite extension of k.

Besides the proof of this lemma that Zariski gave, there is another proof in Artin and Tate [1] and a further proof is indicated in the exercises in Bourbaki [2, p. 87, exercise 4 and p. 106, exercise 12]. It is our purpose to give still another proof of this lemma.

Our proof is based on the following well-known results: (1) If o is an integral domain with quotient field k, if [K:k]=n, and if O is the set of all elements of K which are integral over o, then O is an integral domain and each element of K can be written in the form A/a with A in O and a in o. (2) The field norm  $N_{K/k}$  is multiplicative. (3) If A is in O and o is integrally closed, then  $N_{K/k}A$  is in o.

Here is the proof. If each  $x_j$  is algebraic over k, we are finished. The case in which  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are independent transcendentals is clearly impossible since the polynomial ring  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is not a field. If neither of these cases prevails, then we may assume that  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  form a transcendence basis of K over k for some r with  $1 \leq r < n$ , set  $F = k(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ , and have K a finite extension of F, with say [K:F] = m. Let  $o = k[x_1, \dots, x_r]$  which is isomorphic to the polynomial ring  $k[X_1, \dots, X_r]$  over k. By (1) above, there is an element  $f=f(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ in o such that for each j,  $j=r+1, \dots, n$ ,  $z_j=fx_j$  is integral over o. We select a non-constant polynomial  $g(X_1, \dots, X_r)$  which is relatively prime to  $f(X_1, \dots, X_r)$  (for example  $g(X) = X_1 f(X) + 1$ ) and consider  $w=1/g(x_1,\cdots,x_r)$ . Since w is in  $K=k[x_1,\cdots,x_n]$ , there is a polynomial H(X) in  $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  such that  $w = H(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . Multiplying this last relation with a sufficiently high power of f yields a relation of the form  $f^s w = H_1(x_1, \dots, x_r, z_{r+1}, \dots, z_n)$ , where  $H_1(X_1, \dots, X_r, Z_{r+1}, \dots, Z_n)$  is in