

On the Group of Automorphisms of a Function Field

Kenkichi IWASAWA and Tsuneo TAMAGAWA

§ 1. Let K be an algebraic function field over an algebraically closed constant field k . It is well-known that the group of automorphisms of K over k is a finite group, when the genus of K is greater than 1. In the classical case, where k is the field of complex numbers, this theorem was proved by Klein and Poincaré¹⁾ by making use of the analytic theory of Riemann surfaces. On the other hand, Weierstrass and Hurwitz gave more algebraical proofs in the same case²⁾, which essentially depend upon the existence of so-called Weierstrass points of K . Because of its algebraic nature, the latter method is immediately applicable to the case of an arbitrary constant field of characteristic zero. In the case of characteristic $p \neq 0$, H. L. Schmid proved the theorem along similar lines³⁾; the proof being based upon F. K. Schmidt's generalization of the classical theory of Weierstrass points in such a case⁴⁾.

Now it has been remarked, since Hurwitz, that the representation of the group G of automorphisms of K by the linear transformations, induced by G in the set of all differentials of the first kind of K , is very important for the study of the structure of G . The purpose of the present paper is to show that we can indeed prove the finiteness of G by the help of such a representation instead of the theorem on Weierstrass points. In the next paragraph we analyze the structure of the subgroup $G(\mathfrak{p})$ of G , consisting of those automorphisms of K , which leave a given prime divisor \mathfrak{P} of K fixed, where K may be any function field of genus greater than zero. The finiteness of $G(\mathfrak{p})$ is also proved by H. L. Schmid; but his proof depends essentially upon formal calculations of polynomials, whereas our method is more group-theoretical. In the last paragraph we then prove our theorem by considering the above mentioned representation of G and by using a theorem of Burnside on irreducible groups of linear transformations.

1) Cf. Poincaré [3]

2) Cf. Weierstrass [6] and Hurwitz [2]

3) Cf. H. L. Schmid [4]

4) Cf. F. K. Schmidt [5]