

THE DE RHAM THEOREM FOR GENERAL SPACES*

J. WOLFGANG SMITH

(Received October 22, 1965)

It is known that the differential forms on a differentiable manifold X may be defined as a species of singular real-valued cochains¹⁾ on X . Now let X be an arbitrary topological space and \mathfrak{F} a set of continuous real-valued functions on X . As will be seen in the sequel, one can again single out a species of real singular cochains on X by letting \mathfrak{F} play the role of a differentiable structure²⁾, and obtain thus a graded differential exterior algebra G associated with the pair (X, \mathfrak{F}) . Moreover, such pairs can be regarded as objects of a local category³⁾ \mathfrak{D} , in which case G becomes a contravariant functor on \mathfrak{D} with values in the category \mathfrak{A} of graded differential algebras. By a sheaf-theoretic process, G generates a functor F from \mathfrak{D} to \mathfrak{A} of the kind previously referred to as a sheaf⁴⁾ on \mathfrak{D} . This sheaf F constitutes an extension of the classical differential forms (regarded as a functor on the local category of differentiable manifolds). In the present paper we shall be concerned with the question under what conditions the cohomology of the complex $F(X)$ reduces to the real sheaf cohomology⁵⁾ of the underlying space X . It will be seen that this holds for objects X lying in a certain subcategory \mathfrak{C} of \mathfrak{D} , which however is considerably larger than the category of differentiable manifolds. One has obtained in this way a generalized version of the de Rham Theorem.

Nonclassical objects in \mathfrak{D} arise in various ways, e.g., as quotients of a differentiable manifold M . More precisely, every quotient space X of M carries a natural differentiable structure \mathfrak{F} (in the sense referred to above).

* This research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under NSF GP-1605.

1) From this point of view, the theory of differential forms was extended to Lipschitzian manifolds by Whitney (see [7]).

2) Strictly speaking, we shall find it convenient to deal only with sets \mathfrak{F} satisfying an appropriate closure condition.

3) For basic definitions regarding local categories we refer to Eilenberg [2].

4) See Clifton and Smith [1], p. 446.

5) This cohomology is defined in terms of the *canonical resolution* of the *simple sheaf with fibre R* (the group of real numbers). See Godement [3], p. 173. We shall not be concerned with general *families of support* Φ , but will always suppose Φ to be the family containing X itself.