Tôhoku Math. Journ. 22(1970), 499-501.

## ON THE EXISTENCE OF NON-COMPARABLE HOMOGENEOUS TOPOLOGIES WITH THE SAME CLASS OF HOMEOMORPHISMS

## YU-LEE LEE

(Received September 17, 1969; revised December 8, 1969)

Let  $H(X, \mathcal{U})$  be the class of all homeomorphisms from  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  onto itself. We have already known that, in general, there exist many topologies  $\mathcal{V}$  on Xsuch that  $H(X, \mathcal{U}) = H(X, \mathcal{V})[1][2][3]$ . If  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  is an *n*-manifold, then  $H(X, \mathcal{U})$  can also determine, to a certain degree, the topologies  $\mathcal{V}$  on X with  $H(X, \mathcal{U}) = H(X, \mathcal{V})[4]$ . However it was unknown that whether there are topologies  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  on a set X such that  $H(X, \mathcal{U}) = H(X, \mathcal{V}), (X, \mathcal{U})$  and  $(X, \mathcal{V})$  are homogeneous spaces and  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  are non-comparable in the sense that there does not exist a permutation  $\Phi$  on X such that  $\{\Phi(U) | U \in \mathcal{U}\} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$  or  $\{\Phi(V) | V \in \mathcal{V}\}$  $\subseteq \mathcal{U}$ . A negative answer would characterize a homogeneous space by means of the class of homeomorphism. The finding of this paper proves the existence of non-comparable homogeneous topological spaces with the same class of homeomorphisms. However it is still open whether there exist non-comparable compact homogeneous topologies with the same class of homeomorphisms.

THEOREM 1. Let (X, U) be an n-manifold and let  $\subseteq U \in U | X \setminus U$  is compact} and let W be the topology having

 $\left\{V\setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \{p_i\} \mid V \in \mathcal{V} \text{ and } \{p_i\} \text{ converges to } p_0 \text{ in } (X, \mathcal{U})\right\}$ 

as a subbase. Then H(X, U) = H(X, W). If (X, U) is not compact, then (X, U) and (X, W) are non-comparable.

Theorem 1 is a special case of a more general theorem. Let (X, U) be a topological space, a topology  $\mathcal{V}$  on X is said to be a C-topology relative to  $\mathcal{U}$  if

(i)  $H(X, \mathcal{U}) \subseteq H(X, \mathcal{CV}),$ 

(ii)  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  if, and only if  $U \cup V \in \mathcal{V}$  for every non-empty V in  $\mathcal{V}$ .

We have the following lemma. [1]