Real Analysis Exchange Vol 15 (1989-90)

M. G. NADKARNI, Department of Mathematics, University of Bombay, Bombay 400 098, INDIA; and J. B. ROBERTSON, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, U. S. A.

SPECTRAL RADIUS OF NONSINGULAR TRANSFORMATIONS

We say that T is an invertible, nonsingular, ergodic transformation on a probability space (X, \mathfrak{B}, μ) if T:X→X is one to one, T(A) and $T^{-1}(A) \in \mathfrak{B}$ whenever $A \in \mathfrak{B}, \mu(T(A)) > 0$ and $\mu(T^{-1}(A)) > 0$ whenever $\mu(A) > 0$, and $\mu(A) = 0$ or 1 whenever T(A) = A. Flytzanis [1] introduced the spectral radius as an invariant for such transformations as follows: For every $A \in \mathfrak{B}$ with $\mu(A) > 0$, let r(T,A) denote the radius of convergence of the power series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu(\Delta A_n) \times^n$ where n=0 $A_n = \bigcup_{j=-n}^{n} T^j A$, $A_0 = A$, $A_{-1} = \emptyset$, and $\Delta A_n = A_n - A_{n-1}$. The spectral radius r(T) is then equal to inf{r(T,A) : $\mu(A) > 0$ }. It is clear that r(T) ≥ 1, and if T is a periodic transformation (T^p = identity for some $p \ge 1$), then r(T) = ∞ . We will assume that μ is nonatomic, so that, since T is ergodic, it can not be periodic. The purpose of this note is to prove: