Real Analysis Exchange Vol. 18(1), 1992/93, pp. 261-266

Russell A. Gordon, Department of Mathematics, Whitman College, Walla Walla, WA 99362

On the Equivalence of two Convergence Theorems for the Henstock Integral

There are two well-known convergence theorems for the Henstock integral. Let $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence of Henstock integrable functions that converge pointwise to a function f on [a, b] and let $F_n(x) = \int_a^x f_n$ for each n. One of the theorems has an easy proof and requires that the sequence $\{f_n\}$ be uniformly Henstock integrable on [a, b]. The other, usually written in the language of the Denjoy-Perron integral, has a more difficult proof and requires that the sequence $\{F_n\}$ be equicontinuous and equi ACG_* on [a, b]. It is not easy to compare the hypotheses of these two theorems. Two recent attempts ([1] and [2]) have been made. In [2], the term equi ACG^{∇} is introduced. It is shown that $\{F_n\}$ is equi ACG^{∇} on [a, b] if and only if $\{f_n\}$ is uniformly Henstock integrable on [a, b]. Furthermore, it is possible to prove that $\{F_n\}$ equi ACG_* on [a, b] implies $\{F_n\}$ equi ACG^{∇} on [a, b]. In [1], a new convergence theorem is proved and it is shown to include both of the standard convergence theorems as special cases. Here the necessary hypothesis is that $\{F_n\}$ is generalized \mathcal{P} -Cauchy on [a, b]. The purpose of this paper is to prove that $\{f_n\}$ is uniformly Henstock integrable on [a, b] if and only if $\{F_n\}$ is generalized \mathcal{P} -Cauchy on [a, b].

We will assume that the reader is familiar with the terminology of the Henstock integral. The relevant notation needed for the paper appears below. Let $f, F : [a, b] \to R$, let $E \subset [a, b]$, let δ be a positive function defined on [a, b], and let $\mathcal{P} = \{(x_i, [c_i, d_i]) : 1 \le i \le q\}$ be a finite collection of non-overlapping tagged intervals in [a, b]. Then

$$f(\mathcal{P}) = \sum_{i=1}^{q} f(x_i)(d_i - c_i) \text{ denotes the Riemann sum of } f \text{ associated with } \mathcal{P};$$

$$F(\mathcal{P}) = \sum_{i=1}^{q} (F(d_i) - F(c_i)), \text{ where } F \text{ will always be an indefinite integral};$$

$$\mathcal{C}E \text{ denotes the complement of } E;$$

$$\rho(x, E) \text{ denotes the distance from } x \text{ to } E; \text{ and}$$

Received by the editors March 2, 1992.