

Tamás Keleti *, (student at the Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest) , Puli sétány 21, Budapest, H-1213, Hungary; e-mail: elek@ludens.elte.hu

The Mountain Climbers' Problem and the Complexity of Real Continuous Functions

The first part of this talk investigated the following problem:

Two mountain climbers begin at sea level, at opposite ends of a (two-dimensional) chain of mountains. Can they find routes along which to travel, always maintaining equal altitudes, until they eventually meet?

If we now select a point of maximum altitude and reparametrize, we can formulate it as follows:

Problem 1) Let f and g be continuous functions mapping $[0, 1]$ to $[0, 1]$ with $f(0) = g(0) = 0$ and $f(1) = g(1) = 1$. Are there continuous functions k and $h: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ satisfying $k(0) = h(0) = 0$, $k(1) = h(1) = 1$ and $f \circ k = g \circ h$?

J. V. Whittaker showed in [2] that the answer is “yes” if f and g are piecewise monotone but “no” in general. It is easy to construct a counter-example: let f be a monotone function which is constant in an interval, and let g be a function which oscillates around this value.

However, typical continuous functions are climbable. If we assume that neither f nor g have an interval of constancy then the answer for Problem 1) is “yes”. This is the main result¹ and in the talk we sketched the elementary proof. (The theorem and the proof are going to appear in [1].)

The talk also considered the following situation.

Let $\mathcal{F} = \{f|f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1] \text{ continuous, } f(0) = 0, f(1) = 1 \text{ and } f \text{ has no interval of constancy}\}$. Let f and $g \in \mathcal{F}$. We will say that g is more complex than f or f is simpler than g (notation: $f \preceq g$) if there exists an $h \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $g = f \circ h$. We say that f is equivalent to g

*The author's participation in the conference was sponsored by the Pro Renovanda Cultura Hungariae Foundation.

¹After the conference the author found this result in the paper of T. Homma, *A theorem on continuous functions*, Kôdai Math. Sem. Reports 1 (1952), p.13-16.