THE JOURNAL OF SYMBOLIC LOGIC Volume 67, Number 1, March 2002

OPEN QUESTIONS IN THE THEORY OF SPACES OF ORDERINGS

MURRAY A. MARSHALL

Spaces of orderings provide an abstract framework in which to study spaces of orderings of formally real fields. Spaces of orderings of finite chain length are well understood [9, 11]. The Isotropy Theorem [11] and the extension of the Isotropy Theorem given in [13] are the main tools for reducing questions to the finite case, and these are quite effective. At the same time, there are many questions which do not appear to reduce in this way. In this paper we consider four such questions, for a space of orderings (X, G).

- 1. Is it true that every positive primitive formula $P(\underline{a})$ with parameters \underline{a} in G which holds in every finite subspace of (X, G) necessarily holds in (X, G)?
- 2. If $f : X \to \mathbb{Z}$ is continuous and $\sum_{x \in V} f(x) \equiv 0 \mod |V|$ holds for all fans V in X with $|V| \le 2^n$, does there exist a form ϕ with entries in G such that $f \equiv \hat{\phi} \mod \operatorname{Cont}(X, 2^n \mathbb{Z})$?
- 3. Is it true that $Cont(X, 2^n\mathbb{Z}) \cap Witt(X, G) = I^n(X, G)$, where I(X, G) denotes the fundamental ideal?
- 4. Is the separating depth of a constructible set C in X necessarily bounded by the stability index of (X, G)?

The unexplained terminology and notation is explained later in the main body of the paper. In a certain sense Question 1 is the main question. At the same time, Questions 2, 3 and 4 are of considerable interest, both from the point of view of quadratic form theory and from the point of view of real algebraic geometry. Question 2 was posed by M. Coste to the author in 1999. Question 3 is an old question which has recently been answered, in the case of spaces of orderings of fields, by Dickmann and Miraglia [6], using results of Voevodsky. Question 4 is related to Bröcker's question concerning the *t*-invariant [4]. We examine the evidence supporting each of the questions individually. We also show that a positive answer to Question 1 would automatically imply a positive answer to the other three questions.

Thanks are due to M. Coste whose question provided the initial motivation for the project and to L. Bröcker and M. Dickmann for the useful insights they provided.

© 2002, Association for Symbolic Logic 0022-4812/02/6701-0023/\$2.20

Received January 22, 2001; revised February 19, 2001.

This research was supported in part by NSERC of Canada. Universidad Complutense in Madrid, Université Paris, and Westfählische Wilhelms-Universität in Münster provided support during the early stages of the project in 1999.