THE JOURNAL OF SYMBOLIC LOGIC Volume 67, Number 1, March 2002

## A MAXIMAL BOUNDED FORCING AXIOM

## DAVID ASPERÓ

Abstract. After presenting a general setting in which to look at forcing axioms, we give a hierarchy of generalized bounded forcing axioms that correspond level by level, in consistency strength, with the members of a natural hierarchy of large cardinals below a Mahlo. We give a general construction of models of generalized bounded forcing axioms. Then we consider the bounded forcing axiom for a class of partially ordered sets  $\Gamma_1$  such that, letting  $\Gamma_0$  be the class of all stationary-set-preserving partially ordered sets, one can prove the following:

(a)  $\Gamma_0 \subseteq \Gamma_1$ ,

(b)  $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma_1$  if and only if  $NS_{\omega_1}$  is  $\aleph_1$ -dense.

(c) If  $P \notin \Gamma_1$ , then  $BFA(\{P\})$  fails.

We call the bounded forcing axiom for  $\Gamma_1$  Maximal Bounded Forcing Axiom (MBFA). Finally we prove MBFA consistent relative to the consistency of an inaccessible  $\Sigma_2$ -correct cardinal which is a limit of strongly compact cardinals.

§1. A general setting for forcing axioms. Forcing axioms, like the Proper Forcing Axiom (*PFA*) or the Semiproper Forcing Axiom (*SPFA*), have natural bounded forms, the so-called *bounded forcing axioms*, which were explicitly considered for the first time in [G-S], where the Bounded Proper Forcing Axiom (*BPFA*) is introduced and proved equiconsistent with a small large cardinal hypothesis. Bounded forcing axioms can be characterized as principles of absoluteness under forcing extensions for  $\Sigma_1$  sentences with parameters in  $H(\omega_2)$  (see [B, M]).

We start giving a general definition of unbounded and bounded forcing axiom.

DEFINITION 1.1. Let  $\Gamma$  be a class of partially ordered sets and let  $\kappa$  be a cardinal.  $FA(\Gamma)_{\kappa}$  denotes the following statement:

Given a partially ordered set  $\mathbb{P} \in \Gamma$  and a set  $\mathscr{A}$  of size less than  $\kappa$  consisting of maximal antichains of  $\mathbb{P}$ , there is an  $\mathscr{A}$ -generic filter  $G \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ .

DEFINITION 1.2. Let  $\kappa$  and  $\lambda$  be two infinite cardinals and let  $\Gamma$  be a class of partially ordered sets. Then,  $BFA(\Gamma)_{\kappa,\lambda}$  denotes the statement obtained in Definition 1.1 by requiring that all  $A \in \mathscr{A}$  have size less than  $\lambda$ .

We suggest to make an exception in the above notations when  $\Gamma$  is the class of all ccc partially ordered sets and to use the classical notation in that case, i.e., for every infinite cardinal  $\kappa < 2^{\aleph_0}$ , to let  $MA_{\kappa}$  denote  $FA(ccc)_{\kappa^+}$  (and, consequently, also  $BFA(ccc)_{\kappa^+,\lambda}$  whenever  $\lambda \geq \aleph_1$ ).

© 2002, Association for Symbolic Logic 0022-4812/02/6701-0009/\$2.30

Received October 8, 1999; revised November 28, 2000.