## SMALL THEORIES OF BOOLEAN ORDERED O-MINIMAL STRUCTURES

## ROMAN WENCEL

**Abstract.** We investigate small theories of Boolean ordered o-minimal structures. We prove that such theories are  $\aleph_0$ -categorical. We give a complete characterization of their models up to bi-interpretability of the language. We investigate types over finite sets, formulas and the notions of definable and algebraic closure

**§0.** Introduction. The notion of o-minimality for partially ordered structures is a natural generalization of o-minimality for totally ordered structures, defined in [4]. We say that the partially ordered structure  $(M, \leq, ...)$  is quasi o-minimal iff every definable set  $X \subseteq M$  is a Boolean combination of sets defined by formulas  $x \leq a, x \geq b$  with  $a, b \in M$ . If, additionally, the parameters a and b can be chosen algebraic over the parameters of the formula defining X, we say that  $(M, \leq, ...)$  is o-minimal. This distinction was drawn by C. Toffalori in [5]. In this paper we deal with Boolean ordered structures, i.e., partially ordered structures where the ordering is that of a Boolean algebra. Since, as shown in [3], the notion of o-minimality in Boolean ordered structures coincides with that of quasi o-minimality, it is never necessary to distinguish between them, and we shall never again do so.

The paper is organized as follows. In §1 we recall some useful notions and facts from [3]. §2 contains the main result of this paper, namely that a small theory of a Boolean ordered o-minimal structure is  $\aleph_0$ -categorical. In §3 we present some properties of the operators dcl and acl in Boolean ordered o-minimal structures with small theory. We find a canonical form of formulas, which enables us to classify all Boolean ordered o-minimal structures with a small theory up to bi-interpretability of the language. In our setting we find an analogue of binarity, a property which is enjoyed by small theories of totally ordered o-minimal structures.

§1. Notation and preliminaries. Let  $(M, \sqcap, \sqcup, ', 0_M, 1_M)$  be an arbitrary Boolean algebra and  $a \in M \setminus \{0_M\}$ . For  $x \in M$  we define  $x'^a$  as  $x' \sqcap a$ . Then  $([0_M, a], \sqcap, \sqcup, '^a, 0_M, a)$  is a Boolean algebra.  $[0_M, a]$  is a standard (closed) interval in M with endpoints  $0_M$  and a. For a non-empty set  $B \subseteq M$  we define

 $U(a,B) = \{x \sqcap a : x \text{ is a Boolean combination of elements from } B\}.$   $(U(a,B),\sqcap,\sqcup,{'}^a,0_M,a)$  is again a Boolean algebra.

Received January 3, 2001; revised November 18, 2001; revised March 30, 2002.

© 2002, Association for Symbolic Logic 0022-4812/02/6704-0009/\$1.60