

## A UNIQUENESS THEOREM FOR ITERATIONS

PAUL LARSON

**Abstract.** If  $M$  is a countable transitive model of  $ZFC+MA_{\aleph_1}$ , then for every real  $x$  there is a unique shortest iteration  $j: M \rightarrow N$  with  $x \in N$ , or none at all.

The fundamental construction underlying Woodin's  $\mathbb{P}_{max}$  forcing [4] is the iterated generic elementary embedding. In this construction, one takes a countable transitive model  $M$  of ZFC, chooses an  $M$ -generic filter  $G \subset (\mathcal{P}(\omega_1)/I_{NS})^M$  (where  $I_{NS}$  denotes the nonstationary ideal) and constructs the corresponding ultrapower of  $M$ , with its associated embedding. The process is then repeated with the ultrapower, and continued for  $\omega_1$  many stages, taking direct limits at limit stages. The resulting chain of models, with their corresponding embeddings, is called an iteration of  $M$ , and a great deal of the  $\mathbb{P}_{max}$  analysis concerns the study of these iterations.

A well known result, which appears in [4] as Theorem 5.101 and here as Corollary 3.3, says that two different generic ultrapowers of the same model of  $ZFC + MA_{\aleph_1}$  have no new reals in common. In this paper we strengthen this result to iterations of arbitrary countable length. Our approach gives a shorter proof of the original result as well.

A more precise statement of our result uses the following cardinal invariant.

**DEFINITION 0.1.** The cardinal invariant  $\mathfrak{q}$  is defined to be the least  $\kappa$  such that there is an almost disjoint family  $\{x_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$  of subsets of  $\omega$  and a set  $A \subset \kappa$  such that for no  $z \subset \omega$  is it true that for all  $\alpha < \kappa$ ,  $\alpha \in A$  if and only if  $z \cap x_\alpha$  is infinite.

It is a standard consequence of  $MA_{\aleph_1}$  that  $\mathfrak{q} > \omega_1$  (see, for example, [1]).

**THEOREM 0.2.** *Let  $M$  be a countable iterable model of  $ZFC + \mathfrak{q} > \aleph_1$ , and let  $j_0: M \rightarrow N_0$  and  $j_1: M \rightarrow N_1$  be iterations of  $M$  whose first steps are distinct. Then  $\mathbb{R}^{N_0} \cap \mathbb{R}^{N_1} = \mathbb{R}^M$ .*

It is hoped that a better understanding of iterations will lead to a finer analysis of  $\mathbb{P}_{max}$  variations and their extensions. Other problems in this class appear in [2, 3].

---

Received November 8, 2001; revised March 1, 2002.

The author's research is supported by the NSERC grants of Juris Steprāns, Paul Szeptycki and Franklin D. Tall, whom he would like to thank. This paper answers a question asked privately by Jindřich Zapletal at the MSRI Workshop on the Continuum Hypothesis in May/June of 2001. The author's travel expenses for that conference were paid for by MSRI. Housing was provided by Glenn M. Hammond and Mark A. B. Carlson.