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#### Abstract

Say that $(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{d})$ is an isolation pair if $\boldsymbol{a}$ is a c.e. degree, $\boldsymbol{d}$ is a d.c.e. degree, $\boldsymbol{a}<\boldsymbol{d}$ and $\boldsymbol{a}$ bounds all c.e. degrees below $\boldsymbol{d}$. We prove that there are an isolation pair $(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{d})$ and a c.e. degree $\boldsymbol{c}$ such that $\boldsymbol{c}$ is incomparable with $\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{d}$, and $\boldsymbol{c}$ cups $\boldsymbol{d}$ to $\mathbf{o}^{\prime}$, caps $\boldsymbol{a}$ to $\mathbf{o}$. Thus, $\left\{\mathbf{o}, \boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{d}, \mathbf{o}^{\prime}\right\}$ is a diamond embedding, which was first proved by Downey in [9]. Furthermore, combined with Harrington-Soare continuity of capping degrees, our result gives an alternative proof of $N_{5}$ embedding.


$\S 1$. Introduction. A set $A \subseteq \omega$ is computably enumerable (c.e. for short), if $A$ can be listed effectively. Say that $D \subseteq \omega$ is d.c.e. if $D$ is the difference of two c.e. sets. A Turing degree is c.e. (d.c.e.) if it contains a c.e. (d.c.e.) set. Let $\boldsymbol{R}$ be the set of all c.e. degrees and $\boldsymbol{D}_{2}$ be the set of all d.c.e. degrees. Since any c.e. set is d.c.e., $\boldsymbol{R} \subseteq \boldsymbol{D}_{2}$. Say that a degree $\boldsymbol{d}$ is properly d.c.e. if $\boldsymbol{d}$ contains a d.c.e. set, but contains no c.e. sets. Cooper [3] proved the existence of properly d.c.e. degrees. Thus,

Theorem 1 (Cooper [3]). $\boldsymbol{R} \subset \boldsymbol{D}_{2}$.
In [5], Cooper, Lempp and Watson proved that the properly d.c.e. degrees are dense in the c.e. degrees. The early investigation of the structure $\boldsymbol{D}_{2}$ shows that $\boldsymbol{D}_{2}$ shares many properties with $\boldsymbol{R}$. For example, Lachlan noticed that any nonzero d.c.e. degree bounds a nonzero c.e. degree, and so the downwards density holds in $\boldsymbol{D}_{2}$. Based on this observation, Jockusch pointed out that $\boldsymbol{D}_{2}$ is not complemented. The first two structural differences between $\boldsymbol{D}_{2}$ and $\boldsymbol{R}$ are obtained by Arslanov and Downey:

Theorem 2 (Arslanov's Cupping Theorem [1]). Every nonzero d.c.e. degree cups to $\mathbf{o}^{\prime}$ with an incomplete d.c.e. degree.
Theorem 3 (Downey's Diamond Embedding Theorem [9]). There are two d.c.e. degrees $\boldsymbol{d}_{1}, \boldsymbol{d}_{2}$ such that $\boldsymbol{d}_{1}$ cups $\boldsymbol{d}_{2}$ to $\mathbf{o}^{\prime}$ and caps $\boldsymbol{d}_{2}$ to $\mathbf{0}$.

In [8], Ding and Qian proved that one of $\boldsymbol{d}_{1}, \boldsymbol{d}_{2}$ in Downey's diamond can be c.e.. Indeed, they proved the following lattice embedding:

Theorem 4 (Ding and Qian [8]). There are two c.e. degrees $\boldsymbol{a}<\boldsymbol{b}$ and a d.c.e. degree $\boldsymbol{d}$ such that $\boldsymbol{d}$ cups $\boldsymbol{a}$ to $\mathbf{o}^{\prime}$ and caps $\boldsymbol{b}$ to $\mathbf{o}$. Thus, $\left\{\mathbf{o}, \boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{d}, \mathbf{o}^{\prime}\right\}$ is an $N_{5}$ embedding.

Obviously, $\left\{\mathbf{o}, \boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{d}, \mathbf{o}^{\prime}\right\}$ in Theorem 4 is a diamond embedding.
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