## SPLITTING PROPERTIES OF n-C.E. ENUMERATION DEGREES

## I. SH. KALIMULLIN

**Abstract.** It is proved that if  $1 < m < 2p \le n$  for some integer *p* then the elementary theories of posets of *m*-c.e. and *n*-c.e. e-degrees are distinct. It is proved also that the structures  $\langle \mathscr{D}_{2n}, \le, P \rangle$  and  $\langle \mathscr{D}_{2n}, \le, P \rangle$  are not elementary equivalent where *P* is the predicate P(a) = "a is a  $\Pi_1^0$  e-degree".

§1. Introduction. A set A is enumeration reducible to a set B (in symbols:  $A \leq_e B$ ), if there is an algorithm for enumerating A given any enumeration of B. Namely (see e.g. [1]), if there exists some computably enumerable set W, such that

 $A = \{ x : (\exists u) [\langle x, u \rangle \in W \& D_u \subseteq B] \}$ 

where  $D_u$  is the finite set with canonical index u (in the following we will often identify finite sets with their canonical indices). Thus, each c. e. set W can be viewed as an operator (called an *enumeration operator*), associating to each set B, the set A which is obtained from B as above. The degree structure originated by this reducibility is the structure of the *enumeration degrees*. (In the following, we will write e-reducible, e-operator, e-degree for enumeration reducible, enumeration operator, enumeration degree, respectively. We will also denote by  $\deg_e(A)$  the e-degree of a set A.)

In this paper we study the structure of the *n*-c.e. e-degrees (where  $n \ge 2$ ). In fact, for each  $n \ge 2$ , the *n*-c.e. e-degrees form an upper semilattice  $\mathcal{D}_n$  with least element  $\theta$  (the e-degree of the c.e. sets) and greatest element  $\theta'$  (the e-degree of  $\overline{K}$ , where K is any creative set). Arslanov, Kalimullin and Sorbi proved (see [2]) that every nonzero *n*-c.e. e-degree strictly bounds some nonzero 3-c.e. e-degree. Hence, in each  $\mathcal{D}_n$  there is no minimal e-degree. Moreover, by Corollary 2, every nonzero *n*-c.e. e-degree nontrivially splits.

It is known ([3]) that the 2-c.e. e-degrees are isomorphic to the c.e. Turing degrees. Note that by [7] it is the unique example of an isomorphism between  $\mathscr{D}_n$  (for some  $n \ge 2$ ) and the *m*-c.e. Turing degrees (for some  $m \ge 1$ ). By Corollary 1 (see below) there is an elementary difference at the  $\Sigma_2$ -level (in the language with signature  $\le$ ) between  $\mathscr{D}_n$  (n > 2) and  $\mathscr{D}_2$ .

Downey [5] conjectured that for  $m \ge 2$  the structures of the *m*-c.e. Turing degrees are pairwise elementarily equivalent. In the context of the e-degrees it is natural to ask whether the theories of the *n*-c.e. e-degrees (for n > 2) pairwisely coincide. The

© 2002, Association for Symbolic Logic 0022-4812/02/6702-0004/\$2.00

Received January 18, 2000; revised February 7, 2001.

Partially supported by RFBR Grant 99-01-00174