

## DIOPHANTINE PROPERTIES OF SETS DEFINABLE IN O-MINIMAL STRUCTURES

A. J. WILKIE

**§1. Introduction.** Let  $\mathbb{M}$  be an o-minimal expansion of the ordered field of real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$ , and let  $S$  be an  $\mathbb{M}$ -definable subset (parameters allowed unless otherwise stated) of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . In this note I investigate questions concerning the distribution of points on  $S$  with *integer* coordinates. My main theorem gives an estimate which, though probably far from best possible, at least shows that the o-minimal assumption does have diophantine consequences. This is, perhaps, surprising in view of the flexibility that we now seem to have in constructing o-minimal expansions of  $\mathbb{R}$  (see, e. g. [7], [8], [9]).

1.1. **MAIN THEOREM.** *With  $\mathbb{M}$ ,  $S$  as above, suppose further that  $S$  is of dimension 1 and that it contains no unbounded  $\mathbb{R}$ -definable (without parameters) subset. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Then for all sufficiently large  $R$ , the set  $S \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$  contains at most  $R^\varepsilon$  points within any (euclidean) ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of diameter  $R$ .*

The restriction that  $S$  be eventually transcendental is obviously necessary (e.g., consider the graph of any univariate polynomial with integer coefficients), but it would be interesting to weaken the hypothesis that  $\dim(S) = 1$ . I should also remark that for most o-minimal structures known to me, the bound  $R^\varepsilon$  can be improved to a power of  $\log R$  and this is, I would guess, the right order of magnitude in general. One certainly cannot do better, as the  $\langle \mathbb{R}, \exp \rangle$ -definable set  $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x = 2^y\}$  shows. (Or, for a polynomially bounded example, consider the expansion of  $\mathbb{R}$  by the set  $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x = y^\alpha\}$  where, say,  $\alpha = \log_2 3$ .) However, in one interesting case, namely that of  $\mathbb{M} = \mathbb{R}_{an}$  (where the definable sets are exactly the globally subanalytic sets — see [2]), one can show that  $\log \log R$  is the correct order of magnitude in the sense that if  $S$  is as in the Main Theorem, then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for all sufficiently large  $R$  the set  $S \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$  contains at most  $C \log \log R$  points within any ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of diameter  $R$ . This follows easily from my second result:—

1.2. **THEOREM.** *Let  $\langle a_n : n \geq 1 \rangle$  be a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a) *there exists an  $\mathbb{R}_{an}$ -definable function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f(a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , and such that for no  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  is  $f \upharpoonright (r, \infty)$  an  $\mathbb{R}$ -definable function (without parameters);*
- (b) *there exists an integer  $N \geq 1$  such that for all positive integers  $n$ ,  $a_{n+N} \geq a_n^2$ .*

---

Received March 3, 2004.