

## IDEMPOTENT RINGS WHICH ARE EQUIVALENT TO RINGS WITH IDENTITY

By

J. L. GARCIA<sup>1</sup>

Let  $A$  be a ring such that  $A=A^2$ , but which does not necessarily have an identity element. In studying properties of the ring  $A$  through properties of its modules, it is pointless to consider the category  $A\text{-MOD}$  of all the left  $A$ -modules: for instance, every abelian group –with trivial multiplication– is in  $A\text{-MOD}$ . The natural choice for an interesting category of left  $A$ -modules seems to be the following: if a left  $A$ -module  ${}_A M$  is *unital* when  $AM=M$ , and is  *$A$ -torsionfree* when the annihilator  $\iota_M(A)$  is zero, then  $A\text{-mod}$  will be the full subcategory of  $A\text{-MOD}$  whose objects are the unital and  $A$ -torsionfree left  $A$ -modules. The category  $A\text{-mod}$  appears in a number of papers (for instance, [7–9]) and when  $A$  has local units [1, 2] or is a left  $s$ -unital ring [6, 12], then the objects of  $A\text{-mod}$  are the unital left  $A$ -modules.  $A\text{-mod}$  is a Grothendieck category and we study here the question of finding necessary and sufficient conditions on the ring  $A$  for  $A\text{-mod}$  to be equivalent to a category  $R\text{-mod}$  of modules over a ring with 1. This was already considered for rings with local units in [1], [2] or [3], and for left  $s$ -unital rings in [6]. Our situation is therefore more general.

In this paper, all rings will be associative rings, but we do not assume that they have an identity. A ring  $A$  has local units [2] when for every finite family  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  of elements of  $A$  there is an idempotent  $e \in A$  such that  $ea_j = a_j = a_j e$  for all  $j=1, \dots, n$ . A left  $A$ -module  $M$  is said to be unital if  $M$  has a spanning set (that is, if  $AM=M$ ); and  $M$  has a finite spanning set when  $M = \sum Ax_i$  for a finite family of elements  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  of  $M$ . The module  ${}_A M$  will be called  $A$ -torsionfree when  $\iota_M(A)=0$ . A ring  $A$  is said to be left nondegenerate if the left module  ${}_A A$  is  $A$ -torsionfree, and  $A$  is nondegenerate when it is both left and right nondegenerate (see [10, p. 88]). Clearly, a ring with local units is nondegenerate. The ring  $A$  will be called (left)  $s$ -unital [12] in case for each  $a \in A$  (equivalently, for every finite family  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  of elements

---

Received March 25, 1991, Revised November 1, 1991.

<sup>1</sup> With partial support from the D.G.I.C.Y.T. of Spain (PB 87–0703)