

## SELFINJECTIVITY OF RINGS RELATIVE TO LAMBEK TORSION THEORY

By

Mitsuo HOSHINO

Throughout this note  $R$  stands for an associative ring with identity, modules are unitary modules and torsion theories are Lambek torsion theories. We use the prefix “ $\tau$ –” to mean “relative to Lambek torsion theory”.

In this note we call a ring  $R$  left  $\tau$ -selfinjective if  $\text{Ext}_R^1(X, R)$  is torsion for every left  $R$ -module  $X$ . Our main aim is to characterize left  $\tau$ -selfinjective rings  $R$  by a certain kind of linear compactness. Recall that a module  $X$  is called absolutely pure if  $\text{Ext}_R^1(-, X)$  vanishes on the finitely presented modules. Also, let us call a module  $X$  semicompact if  $\varprojlim \pi_\lambda$  is an epimorphism for every inverse system of epimorphisms  $\{\pi_\lambda : X \rightarrow Y_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  with the  $Y_\lambda$  torsionless. Then, as pointed out by Stenström [18], the argument of Matlis [13, Propositions 2 and 3] yields that a ring  $R$  is left selfinjective if and only if it is left absolutely pure and right semicompact. It is shown in [9] that  $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, R)$  is torsion for every left ideal  $I$  of  $R$  if and only if  $R$  is  $\tau$ -absolutely pure and right  $\tau$ -semicompact. However, since  $\tau$ -epimorphisms are not necessarily set-theoretic surjections, Baer’s lemma does not work. Namely, even if  $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, R)$  is torsion for every left ideal  $I$  of  $R$ ,  $R$  is not necessarily left  $\tau$ -selfinjective. So we need a rather strong notion of linear compactness to characterize left  $\tau$ -selfinjective rings  $R$ .

We are also concerned with an arbitrary class of left  $R$ -modules  $\mathcal{C}$  which contains  ${}_R R$  and is closed under taking factor modules and extensions. We ask when every submodule  $X$  of  $E({}_R R)$ , the injective envelope of  ${}_R R$ , with  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  is torsionless. In various situations, this problem has been considered by several authors (e.g., [3], [1], [16], [20], [2], [6], [7], [4], [15] and [8]). As a particular case, we study the class of all  $\tau$ -finitely generated modules.

In the following, we denote by  $\text{Mod } R$  the category of left  $R$ -modules. Right  $R$ -modules are considered as left  $R^{\text{op}}$ -modules, where  $R^{\text{op}}$  denotes the opposite ring of  $R$ . Sometimes, we use the notation  ${}_R X$  (resp.  $X_R$ ) to stress that