# WEAKLY NORMAL FILTERS AND LARGE CARDLINALS

#### By

# Yoshihiro ABE

### 0. Introduction.

In this paper,  $\kappa$  denotes an uncountable regular cardinal and  $\lambda$  a cardinal  $\geq \kappa$ . For any such pair,  $P_{\kappa}\lambda$  is the set  $\{x \subset \lambda : |x| < \kappa\}$ .

An "ideal on  $P_{\kappa}\lambda$ " is always a "proper, nonprincipal,  $\kappa$ -complete, fine ideal on  $P_{\kappa}\lambda$ " unless specified. (An ideal I is fine if for all  $\alpha < \lambda$ ,  $\{x \in P_{\kappa}\lambda : \alpha \notin x\} \in I$ .) For any ideal I,  $I^{+}=P(P_{\kappa}\lambda)-I$  and  $I^{*}$  is the filter dual to I.

DEFINITON. An ideal I as well as  $I^*$  are said to be *weakly normal* iff for every regressive function  $f: P_{\kappa} \lambda \rightarrow \lambda$ ,

$$(\exists \gamma < \lambda)(\{x \in P_{\kappa}\lambda : f(x) < \gamma\} \in I^*).$$

The above definition is a translation of Kanamori's "weak normality" for filters on  $\kappa$  in [5]. There is another weak normality presented by Mignone [10]. It is known that our notion is Mignone's weak normality plus some saturation property and every  $cf\lambda$ -saturated normal ideal on  $P_{\kappa}\lambda$  has our weak normality.

 $\kappa$  is said to be  $\lambda$ -compact if there is a fine ultrafilter on  $P_{\kappa}\lambda$ . If  $\kappa$  is  $\lambda$ compact,  $P_{\kappa}\lambda$  carries many fine ultrafilters. Moreover every fine ultrafilter has
a weakly normal fine ultrafilter which is Rudin-Keisler ordering below it. So,
it may be a natural question whether  $\kappa$  is large if a weakly normal filter on  $P_{\kappa}\lambda$  exists.

In §1, we consider a case where one can say  $\kappa$  is large.

Kunen-Paris [7] and Kunen [6] consider the possibility of  $S(\kappa, \eta)$  holding for various  $\kappa, \eta$  where

 $S(\kappa, \eta) \equiv$  There is a  $\kappa$ -complete  $\eta$ -saturated ideal on  $\kappa$ . §2 is devoted to an application of their methods to weakly normal ideals on  $P_{\kappa}\lambda$ .

Much of our notation is standard, and Jech [4] or [7] should be consulted.

## § 1. $\kappa$ may be strong compact.

For a reader's convenience, we give a proof of a lemma which appears Received October 21, 1991, Revised February 17, 1992.

This recearch was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 01302006), Ministry of Education, Science and Culure.