ON FUNCTION SPACES FOR GENERAL TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

By

Mitsuaki KAKIMI and Toshio YAGI

1. Introduction.

In this paper we mean by a space a topological space with no separation axiom unless otherwise specified, and we denote by R and I the real line and the closed unit interval respectively.

Given two spaces X and Y, let F(X, Y) denote the set of all maps from X into Y, C(X, Y) the set of all continuous maps from X into Y. In case Y is the real line **R**, $C(X, \mathbf{R})$ is denoted more simply by C(X). The map $\rho: F(X \times Y, T)$ $\rightarrow F(Y, F(X, T))$ defined by the formula $[\rho(f)(y)](x) = f(x, y)$ for $f \in F(X \times Y, T)$ is bijective; this correspondence is called the *exponential map*.

A topology on C(X, T) is called *proper* if for every space Y and any $f \in C(X \times Y, T)$ the map $\rho(f)$ belongs to C(Y, C(X, T)). Similarly, a topology on C(X, T) is called *admissible* if for every space Y and any $g \in C(Y, C(X, T))$ the map $\rho^{-1}(g)$ belongs to $C(X \times Y, T)$. A topology on C(X, T) that is both proper and admissible is called an *acceptable topology* (see [1], [2] and [3]).

As is well known, the compact-open topology on C(X, T) is acceptable for any space T when X is locally compact Hausdorff (see [4]). Furthermore, the following theorem was proved by R. Arens [1].

THEOREM 1.1. Let X be a Tychonoff space. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

(1) X is locally compact.

(2) There exists an acceptable topology on C(X).

In the case that X is not necessarily Tychonoff, Professor T. Ishii raised the following problem: Characterize a space X such that there exists an acceptable topology on C(X).

The main purpose of this paper is to give the solution for this problem by proving the following theorem.

Received October 19, 1982.