

## ON A PROBLEM ABOUT SKOLEM'S PARADOX OF TAKEUTI'S VERSION

By

Masazumi HANAZAWA

### § 1. Introduction.

In his paper [2], Takeuti gave a theorem as a proof-theoretical interpretation of so-called Skolem's paradox. He showed as a corollary that Gödel-Bernays set theory with the additional axioms

$$\forall X \exists x \in \omega (f(x) = X)$$

is consistent, where  $f$  is a newly introduced function symbol.

Concerning it, we are interested here in the question whether we can add consistently the axiom schema

$$\vec{\forall}(\mathfrak{F}(0) \wedge \forall x(\mathfrak{F}(x) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}(x+1)) \rightarrow \forall x \in \mathfrak{F}(x))^{1), 2)}$$

bisides with an axiom  $\forall x \exists y \in \omega (f(y) = x)$  to any consistent set theory.

We show in this paper that the above question is affirmative for any consistent extension of  $ZF$ .<sup>3)</sup>

### § 2. Results.

2.1. CONVENTION. In the first order predicate calculus with equality, we regard the equality axioms as logical axioms. It means that equality axioms for any language are provided always tacitly.

$f, g, h, \dots$  denote function symbols.

$\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{u}, \dots$  denote terms.

$\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{C}, \dots$  denote formulae.

$\mathfrak{s}$  is a unary function symbol and  $\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{t})$  is abbreviated by  $\mathfrak{t}'$ .

$\mathfrak{seq}$  is a binary function symbol and  $\mathfrak{seq}(\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{t})$  is abbreviated by  $\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{t}$ .

$P, Q, R, \dots$  denote predicate symbols.

1)  $\vec{\forall}\mathfrak{A}$  denotes the universal closure of a formula  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

2) The formula  $\mathfrak{F}(0)$  contains possibly the newly introduced function symbol  $f$ .

3) See [1], where the rather folklore result that the question is affirmative for any consistent extension of  $ZFC$  is shown.

Received May 1, 1979.