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1. T. Tsuzuku determined (the degrees of) the primitive extensions of rank 3 of symmetric groups ([4]). In this note we take up alternating groups instead of symmetric groups and prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Let $A_{n}$ be the alternating group of degree $n$. If $A_{n}$ has a primitive extension of rank 3 , then $n=1,3,5$ or 7 .

Since our proof is quite simlar to Tsuzuku's paper [4], we use the same notations as those in [4] and give a proof in outline only.
$S_{n}$ : The symmetric group of degree $n$.
$A_{n}$ : The alternating group of degree $n$ (on a set $\Gamma$ ).
$G:$ A primitive extension of rank 3 of $A_{n}$ on a set $\Omega=\{0,1,2, \cdots$, $n, \widetilde{1}, \tilde{2}, \cdots, \widetilde{m}\}$ which consists of $1+n+m$ letters.
$H$ : The stabilizer $G_{0}$ of a letter, say 0 , of $\Omega$. The orbits of $H$ are denoted by $\Delta_{0}=\{0\}, \Delta_{1}=\{1,2, \cdots, n\}$ and $\Delta_{2}=\{\widetilde{1}, \tilde{2}, \cdots, \widetilde{m}\}$ and the group $\left(H, A_{1}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\left(A_{n}, \Gamma\right)$.
$L$ : The stabilizer of the subset $\{0, \widetilde{1}\}$ of $\Omega$.
$|X|$ : The number of elements in a set $X$.
2. Proof of Theorem 1. Clearly $A_{2}$ does not have a primitive extension of rank 3 and so $n \neq 2$. In the following we assume that $n \neq 1,3,5$ and 7. By assumption, the group $\left(A_{n}, \Gamma\right)$ is isomorphic to $\left(H, \Delta_{1}\right)$ and $|L|$ is equal to $\frac{n!}{2 m}$. According to a theorem of Manning ([4], 2. Prop. 1), $|L|$ is divisible by $\frac{(n-2)!}{2}$ and $\frac{(n-1)!}{2}>|L| \geqq \frac{(n-2)!}{2}$.
I. The case $|L|>\frac{(n-2)!}{2}$ and $L$ is transitive on $\Delta_{1}$.

If $L$ is a primitive subgroup of $\left(H, \Delta_{1}\right)$, then, in the same way as 3 . I in [4], $2 n(n-1)>\left[\frac{n+1}{2}\right]!$ and so we have $n=10,9,8,6$, or 4 . In case $n=10,9$ or 8 , by a theorem of Jordan ([5], th. 13. 9), $L$ is either $A_{n}$ or $S_{n}$ and this is a contradiction. For the cases $n=6$ or 4 , and also for the case

