On a division ring with discrete valuation

Kozo Sugano

(Received September 8, 1993, Revised December 14, 1993)

Throughout this paper A will be a division ring with non-trivial valuation v, and C will be the center of A. A has the completion with respect to the v-topology, which is also a division ring. We will denote it by A^* . For each division subring B of A the closure of B in A^* with respect to the v-topology will be also denoted by B^* . B^* is isomorphic to the completion of B as topological ring.

The aim of this paper is to show that B^* coincides with the double centralizer of B in A^* for each division C-subalgebra B of A, in the case where A is finite over C and v is discrete.

In this paper we will use the same terminology as [4] and [6]. In particular for each division subring B of A we write

$$O(B) = \{x \in B | v(x) \le 1\}, P(B) = \{x \in B | v(x) < 1\}.$$

Let v be non-archimedean and B an arbitrary division subring of A. O(B) is a local ring with the maximal ideal P(B). Hence O(B)/P(B) is a division ring, which will be denoted by E(B). Write E(B)=K and E(A)=E. Then K is a division subring of E. We will write $f_r(A/B)=$ $[E:K]_r$, $f_l(A/B)=[E:K]_l$ and $e(A/B)=[v(A^\circ):v(B^\circ)]$, where A° and B° are the unit groups of A and B, respectively. In the case where $[E:K]_l$ $=[E:K]_r$, we will write f(A/B) in stead of $f_r(A/B)$ or $f_l(A/B)$. Note that we have $e(A^*/A)=f(A^*/A)=1$ by Proposition 17.4 and Corollary 17.4 b [4]. Furthermore the Domination Principle, that is, v(x) < v(y) implies v(x+y)=v(y), holds also for a division ring with non-Archimedean valuation (See § 17.2 [4]).

The next lemma is well known in the case where A is a commutative field, and holds also in the case where v|B is trivial

LEMMA 1. Let A, B and v be as above, then we have e(A/B) $f_r(A/B) \leq [A:B]_r$. If $[A:B]_r < \infty$, both e(A/B) and $f_r(A/B)$ are finite.

PROOF. Since v(ab) = v(a)v(b) = v(b)v(a) = v(ba) for any $a, b \in A$, and the Domination Principle holds for A, we can follow the same lines as the proof of Theorem 4.5 Chap. 2 [2].