

## A characterization of dense vector fields in $\mathcal{G}^1(M)$ on 3-manifolds

Takeharu YAMANAKA

(Received June 5, 2000; Revised October 30, 2000)

**Abstract.** Recently Morales-Pacífico-Pujals introduced the new concept of singular hyperbolicity and showed that  $C^1$  robust transitive sets of 3-flows are singular hyperbolic sets ([8], [9]). Based on their papers, we shall characterize a dense subset of  $\mathcal{G}^1(M)$  with  $\dim M = 3$ .

*Key words:*  $\mathcal{G}^1(M)$ , singular hyperbolic set, Axiom A.

### 1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to study the space of vector fields known as  $\mathcal{G}^1(M)$ . Let  $M$  be a compact smooth manifold without boundary. We denote by  $\chi^1(M)$  the set of  $C^1$  vector fields on  $M$ , endowed with the  $C^1$  topology and by  $X_t$  ( $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ) the  $C^1$  flow on  $M$  generated by  $X \in \chi^1(M)$ .  $\Omega(X)$ ,  $per(X)$ ,  $Sing(X)$  are the sets of nonwandering, periodic and singular points of  $X$  respectively. Recall that a set  $\Lambda \subset M$  is called a hyperbolic set of  $X$  if compact, invariant and there exists a continuous splitting  $TM/\Lambda = E^s \oplus E^X \oplus E^u$ , invariant under the derivative of flow  $X_t$ ,  $DX_t$ , where  $E^s$  and  $E^u$  are exponentially contracted and expanded respectively by  $DX_t$  and  $E^X$  is tangent to  $X$ . We say that  $X \in \chi^1(M)$  satisfies Axiom A if  $\Omega(X)$  is a hyperbolic set of  $X$  and  $\Omega(X) = \overline{Sing(X) \cup per(X)}$  (We denote by  $\overline{A}$  the closure of  $A$  in  $M$ ). Let  $\mathcal{G}^1(M)$  be the interior of the set of vector fields in  $\chi^1(M)$  whose critical elements (singularities and periodic orbits) are hyperbolic.

In [3], Hayashi showed that diffeomorphisms in  $\mathcal{F}^1(M)$  satisfy Axiom A where  $\mathcal{F}^1(M)$  is the diffeomorphism version of  $\mathcal{G}^1(M)$  and this naturally give rise to the following question: Do vector fields in  $\mathcal{G}^1(M)$  satisfy Axiom A? Unfortunately this does not hold generally and the geometric Lorenz attractor in [2] is well-known as one of the counter examples. Vector field generating this attractor is an element of  $\mathcal{G}^1(M)$  but has singularities accu-