## Linear parabolic equations in regions with re-entrant edges

By Ali Azzam and Erwin KREYSZIG

(Received October 6, 1980; Revised January 12, 1981)

In a recent paper [1] we studied solutions of the parabolic equation

(1)  $Lu(x,t) = a_{ik}(x)u_{x_ix_k} + a_i(x,t)u_{x_i} + a(x,t)u - u_t = f(x,t),$ 

 $x=(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , in a simply connected, bounded region  $\Omega = G \times J \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ ,  $n \ge 2$ ,  $J = \{t | 0 < t \le T\}$ , satisfying the conditions

(2 a) 
$$u(x, 0) = 0, x \in \overline{G}$$
,

(2 b)  $u|_{\partial G \times \overline{J}} = \phi(x, t)$ ,

under the following assumptions.

- (A)  $a_{ik} \in C^{\alpha}(\overline{G}), a_i, a, f \in C^{\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}), 0 < \alpha < 1$ ,
- (B)  $\phi(x, 0) = 0, \ \phi \in C^{2+\alpha}[\partial G \setminus E) \times \overline{J}] \cap C^0(\partial G \times \overline{J}),$

(C)  $\omega(P) < \pi$  for all  $P \in E$ .

Here  $E = \bigcup E_i$ , where  $E_1, \dots, E_m$  are (n-2)-dimensional edges (the intersections of portions of hypersurfaces  $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_m$  constituting the boundary  $\partial G$  of G), and  $\omega(P)$  is the angle between the images of the two  $\Gamma_j$ 's corresponding to a point  $P: x^0$  of E under the transformation of

$$a_{ik}(x^0) u^*_{x_i x_k} = 0$$

to canonical form.

Condition (C) means that the edges of the image of G are non-reentrant. The question arises whether this restriction can be removed. This would be of practical importance, for the following reason.

It is well known that in physical and other applications, a great majority of boundary value or initial value problems are such that the given data or the boundaries of the domains have singularities (corners or edges); cf. [3], Chaps. V, VI, [4], [10], [13]. Not infrequently, some of those edges are re-entrant; for typical examples, see [5], Chap. 3, [7], Secs. 24. 3-24.7, [8], Chap. 24, and [14], Chap. 8. In each such case, it is desirable to have knowledge about the kind of singularities of solutions and derivatives one has to expect, as a consequence of the singularities of the boundary.

The knowledge just mentioned is even more mandatory in finite differ-