Rational Curves on Hypersurfaces

YUAN WANG

ABSTRACT. Let (X, D) be a pair where X is a projective variety. We study in detail how the behavior of rational curves on X and the positivity of $-(K_X + D)$ and D influence the behavior of rational curves on D. In particular, we give criteria for uniruledness and rational connectedness of components of D.

1. Introduction

For a projective variety X, the connection between the positivity of $-K_X$ and the behavior of rational curves on X is well understood. Uniruledness and rational connectedness are possibly two birational properties of smooth varieties that have been the most intensively studied. A result of Miyaoka and Mori [MM86] shows that a smooth projective variety X is uniruled if and only if there exists a K_X -negative curve through every general point of X. Later Boucksom, Demailly, Păun, and Peternell [BDPP13] proved that if the canonical divisor of a projective manifold X is not pseudoeffective, then X is uniruled. The rational connectedness of smooth Fano varieties was established by Campana [Cam92] and Kollár, Miyaoka, and Mori [KMM92], and it was later generalized to the log Fano cases by Zhang [Zha06] and Hacon and McKernan [HM07].

A natural question is how the behavior or rational curves on a variety X influences the behavior of rational curves on a hypersurface D. An easy case is where $X = \mathbb{P}^n$; then a general hypersurface of degree $\leq n$ is rationally connected. More generally, if (X, D) is a plt pair and $-(K_X + D)$ is ample, then by the adjunction formula we have $(K_X + D)|_D = K_D + \operatorname{Diff}_D(0)$, which is antiample and klt. So by [Zha06, Theorem 1] D is rationally connected and, in particular, uniruled. However, if we assume that $-(K_X + D)$ is big and semiample instead of ample, then the following example shows that D is not necessarily uniruled.

EXAMPLE 1.1. Let $\pi: X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \to C$ be a ruled surface, where C is an elliptic curve, and $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_C \oplus \mathcal{L}$ is such that \mathcal{L} is a line bundle on C and $\deg(\mathcal{L}) < 0$. Let $e = -\deg(\bigwedge^2 \mathcal{E})$. Then e > 0 and $K_X \equiv_{\text{num}} -2C_0 - eF$, where C_0 is the unique section of π with $\mathcal{O}_X(C_0) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(1)$ (see [Har77, Chapter V, Example 2.11.3]), and F is a fiber. So we have

$$-(K_X + C_0) \equiv_{\text{num}} C_0 + eF = \varepsilon C_0 + (1 - \varepsilon) \left(C_0 + \frac{e}{1 - \varepsilon} F \right),$$

Received May 2, 2016. Revision received June 13, 2017.

The author was supported in part by the FRG grant DMS-# 1265261.