

# Volume and Hilbert Function of $\mathbb{R}$ -Divisors

MIHAI FULGER, JÁNOS KOLLÁR, & BRIAN LEHMANN

## 1. Introduction

Let  $X$  be a proper, normal algebraic variety of dimension  $n$  over a field  $K$ , and  $D$  an  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor on  $X$ . The *Hilbert function* of  $D$  is the function

$$\mathcal{H}(X, D) : m \mapsto h^0(mD) := \dim_K H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\lfloor mD \rfloor))$$

defined for all  $m \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $D$  is an ample Cartier divisor, then  $\mathcal{H}(X, D)$  agrees with the usual Hilbert polynomial whenever  $m \gg 1$  is an integer, but in general  $\mathcal{H}(X, D)$  is not a polynomial, not even if  $D$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -divisor and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The simplest numerical invariant associated to the Hilbert function is the *volume* of  $D$ , defined as

$$\mathrm{vol}(D) := \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h^0(mD)}{m^n/n!}.$$

If  $E$  is an effective  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor, then

$$h^0(mD - mE) \leq h^0(mD) \leq h^0(mD + mE) \quad (*)$$

for every  $m > 0$ ; hence,

$$\mathrm{vol}(D - E) \leq \mathrm{vol}(D) \leq \mathrm{vol}(D + E). \quad (**)$$

Furthermore, if equality holds in  $(*)$  for every  $m \gg 1$ , then equality holds in  $(**)$ . The aim of this note is to prove the converse for *big* divisors, that is, when  $\mathrm{vol}(D) > 0$ . Although the volume does not determine the Hilbert function, we prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(X, D) \equiv \mathcal{H}(X, D - E) &\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{vol}(D) = \mathrm{vol}(D - E) \quad \text{and} \\ \mathcal{H}(X, D) \equiv \mathcal{H}(X, D + E) &\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{vol}(D) = \mathrm{vol}(D + E). \end{aligned}$$

As a byproduct of the proof, we also obtain a characterization of such divisors  $E$  in terms of the negative part  $N_\sigma(D)$  of the *Zariski–Nakayama-decomposition* (also called  $\sigma$ -decomposition) and of the divisorial part of the *augmented base locus*  $\mathbf{B}_+^{\mathrm{div}}(D)$ ; see [Nak04], (4.1) and Definition 5.1 for definitions.

Another interesting consequence is that the answer depends only on the  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear equivalence class of  $D$ . This is obvious for  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear equivalence, but it can easily happen that  $D' \sim_{\mathbb{R}} D$  yet  $h^0(X, mD) \neq h^0(X, mD')$  for every  $m > 0$ ; see Example 2.6. In fact, the only relationship between  $\mathcal{H}(X, D)$  and  $\mathcal{H}(X, D')$  that we know of is  $\mathrm{vol}(D) = \mathrm{vol}(D')$ .

Our main results are the following.