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## 0. Introduction

It is a result of classical function theory (see [FiF; Les; Mas; PeL; S]) that if $f: U \rightarrow U$ is a conformal self-mapping of a plane domain that fixes three distinct points then $f(\zeta) \equiv \zeta$. The purpose of the present paper is to put this result into a geometrically natural context and to extend it to higher-dimensional domains and manifolds. For an examination of fixed point questions from a slightly different point of view, we refer the reader to the work of Vigué (see e.g. [V1; V2]).

The third-named author thanks Robert Burckel for early discussions of this topic and for basic references.

## 1. Spanning Cartan-Hadamard Subsets

In this section, we let $M$ be a connected, complete Riemannian manifold.

### 1.1. Cut Points and Cut Loci

Let $x \in M$. A point $y \in M$ is called a cut point of $x$ if there are two or more length-minimizing geodesics from $x$ to $y$ in $M$. We also use the following basic terminology and facts from Riemannian geometry. A geodesic $\gamma:[a, b] \rightarrow M$ is called a length-minimizing geodesic (or, alternatively, a minimal geodesic or a minimal connector) from $x$ to $y$ if $\gamma(a)=x, \gamma(b)=y$, and $\operatorname{dis}(x, y)=\operatorname{arc}$ length of $\gamma$. Any two points in a complete Riemannian manifold can be connected by a minimizing geodesic by the Hopf-Rinow theorem. If there is a smooth family of minimizing geodesics from $x$ to $y$, then these two points are said to be conjugate. Conjugate points are cut points. The collection of cut points of $x$ in $M$ is called the cut locus of $x$, which we denote by $C_{x}$ in this paper. It is known that $C_{x}$ is nowhere dense in $M$ (see e.g. [GKM; K]).

### 1.2. Spanning Cartan-Hadamard Sets

A subset $X$ of $M$ is a Cartan-Hadamard set if there exists an $x_{0} \in X$ such that $X \subset$ $M \backslash C_{x_{0}}$. We will call $x_{0}$ a pole of $X$. A pole of a set is in no way unique. But, for
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