

The Real Part of Entire Functions

C. C. DAVIS & P. C. FENTON

1. Introduction

Given an entire function

$$f(z) = \sum a_n z^n = u + iv,$$

let us write, as usual, $M(r)$ for the maximum modulus of f , and $A(r)$ and $B(r)$ for the minimum and maximum of u , the real part of f . We always have

$$-M(r) \leq A(r) \leq B(r) \leq M(r),$$

but in fact the outer inequalities are, for most values of r , almost equalities. Wiman [14] showed that

$$-A(r) \sim B(r) \sim M(r)$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$ outside an exceptional set of finite logarithmic measure, that is, outside a set E such that

$$\log \text{meas } E = \int_{E \cap (1, \infty)} d \log t < \infty.$$

Hayman [10] obtained refinements of these estimates at the expense of a larger exceptional set, measured in terms of upper logarithmic density. The *upper* and *lower logarithmic densities* of E are defined by

$$\overline{\log \text{dens}} E = \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \text{meas } E_{(1, r)}}{\log r}, \quad \underline{\log \text{dens}} E = \underline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \text{meas } E_{(1, r)}}{\log r},$$

where $E_{(1, r)}$ denotes the part of E contained in the interval $(1, r)$. Upper and lower $\log \log$ densities also arise in what follows and are defined analogously. Hayman proved the following.

THEOREM 1 [10, Thm. 10]. *Suppose that $f(z)$ is a transcendental entire function, and set*

$$P = \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r)}{\log \log r}. \quad (1)$$

Given $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$B(r) > M(r) \left(1 - \frac{\pi^2(\sigma(P) + \varepsilon)}{2 \log M(r)} \right), \quad -A(r) > M(r) \left(1 - \frac{\pi^2(\sigma(P) + \varepsilon)}{2 \log M(r)} \right), \quad (2)$$