

# Boundary Behavior of Certain Holomorphic Maps

H. ALEXANDER

## 1. Introduction

Our point of departure is the recent work of Alinhac, Baouendi, and Rothschild [3] and of Bell and Lempert [4] on the boundary behavior of holomorphic maps from  $\mathbf{C}$  to  $\mathbf{C}^n$ . In the scalar case  $n = 1$ , the results may be formulated as follows. Let  $H_r$  denote the intersection of the open disk of radius  $r$  centered at the origin with the upper half-plane, and let  $\sigma_r$  denote the closed semi-circle in its boundary.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a smooth Jordan arc in  $\mathbf{C}$ . Let  $f$  be a holomorphic function on  $H_r$  such that the cluster set of  $f$  along  $[-r, r]$  is contained in  $\Gamma$ . Then*

- (a1)  *$f$  extends to be continuous on  $(-r, r)$ ,*
- (a2)  *$f$  is smooth on  $(-r, r) \cup H_r$ , and*
- (b)  *$f$  has finite order at each point of  $(-r, r)$  unless  $f$  is constant.*

Part (a1) is not explicitly stated in [4] but follows from the argument there because the classical reflection principle yields such continuity. The meaning of “smoothness” is  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  for  $f$  and  $\Gamma$  in [4], while [3] treats  $f$  in Lipschitz spaces and  $\Gamma$  being  $\mathcal{C}^k$  with  $k \geq 2$ . In the former case, “finite order” at  $x$  simply means that some derivative  $f^{(N)}(x) \neq 0$ ; in the latter case it means not of infinite order, that is,  $f(z) - f(x) = O((z - x)^N)$  does not hold for every  $N$ .

The main objective in the cited work is to handle higher-dimensional mappings where  $\Gamma$  is replaced by a totally real manifold. The first results of this type were due to Chirka [6]; previous work has also been done by Rosay [12] and Pinchuk and Khasanov [10]. However, according to [3], the unique continuation property (b) is new even in the scalar case. It is proved in [3] and [4] by PDE methods. We first consider the case when  $\Gamma$  is not assumed to be smooth but is just a (continuous) Jordan arc. It turns out that a sort of finiteness (b1) still holds, with no assumption of smoothness.

---

Received February 6, 1990.

The author was supported in part by a grant from the National Science Foundation.

Michigan Math. J. 38 (1991).