ON SOME CLASSES OF FIRST-ORDER DIFFERENTIAL SUBORDINATIONS

Sanford S. Miller and Petru T. Mocanu

1. Introduction. Let f and F be analytic in the unit disk U. The function f is subordinate to F, written f < F or f(z) < F(z), if F is univalent, f(0) = F(0) and $f(U) \subset F(U)$.

In two previous articles [1 and 5] the authors investigated properties of the Briot-Bouquet differential subordination

(1)
$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\beta p(z) + \gamma} < h(z).$$

This first-order differential subordination has many interesting applications in the theory of univalent functions [see 1, 5, and 8]. For special values of β , γ and h it has been investigated by many other authors.

The Briot-Bouquet differential subordination is but a special case of the general theory of differential subordinations introduced in [4, Section 4]. Restricting our attention to first-order differential subordinations, if $\psi \colon \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ is analytic in a domain D, if h is univalent in U, and if p is analytic in U with $(p(z), zp'(z)) \in D$ when $z \in U$, then p is said to satisfy the *first-order differential subordination*

$$\psi(p(z),zp'(z)) < h(z).$$

If we take $\psi(r,s) = r + s/(\beta r + \gamma)$ then the Briot-Bouquet differential subordination (1) can be written in the form (2).

Condition (2) is a generalization to complex function theory of the concept of first-order differential inequalities in real function theory. The similarity of the symbols < and < is appropriate since both represent an inclusion relation. In the real case there are many applications that require the finding of bounds on the function p satisfying the differential inequality (2) with < replaced by <. This is also the case for differential subordinations. We now repeat the following definitions of dominant and best dominant from [4, Definition 4], here restricted to the first-order case.

DEFINITION 1. The univalent function q is said to be a *dominant* of the differential subordination (2) if p < q for all p satisfying (2). If \tilde{q} is a dominant of (2) and $\tilde{q} < q$ for all dominants q of (2), then \tilde{q} is said to be the *best dominant* of (2). (Note that the best dominant is unique up to a rotation of U.)

In this article we determine dominants and best dominants of first-order differential subordinations. The special case of the Briot-Bouquet differential

Received February 1, 1984. Revision received October 26, 1984.

The first author acknowledges support received from the National Academy of Sciences through its exchange program with the Academy of the Socialist Republic of Romania. Michigan Math. J. 32 (1985).