## ON THE RUSSO-DYE THEOREM

## Sorin Popa

Let A be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra and  $x \in A$ , ||x|| < 1. Denote by n(x,A) the least natural number n such that x is a convex combination of n unitary elements of A. The Russo-Dye theorem asserts that n(x,A) is finite. Let  $n(\rho;A)$  denote the least upper bound of the numbers n(x,A), where  $x \in A$ ,  $||x|| \le \rho$ ,  $0 < \rho < 1$ . It is known that  $n(2^{-1};A) \le 4$  and it is shown (see [3]) that if A is the  $C^*$ -algebra of continuous functions on the unit disk and  $f \in A$  is the identity function, then

(\*) 
$$n(\rho f, A) \ge 2(1-\rho)^{-1}$$
, for  $0 < \rho < 1$ ,

which shows that  $\sup_{0<\rho<1} n(\rho;A)$  is infinite.

In a seminar on operator algebras at the Math. Dept. of INCREST, A. Ocneanu raised the question of whether  $n(\rho;A)$  is finite for  $\rho < 1$ . In this paper we answer affirmatively this question, namely we prove that

$$n(\rho;A) \leq 2\pi (1+\rho)(1-\rho)^{-1} + 2.$$

To do this we follow Harris' proof of the Russo-Dye theorem ([1]). We also exhibit another class of  $C^*$ -algebras for which the inequality (\*) holds, namely if a  $C^*$ -algebra A contains a nonunitary isometry v, then  $n(\rho v, A) \ge 2(1 - \rho)^{-1}$ ,  $0 < \rho < 1$ .

This shows that in certain  $C^*$ -algebras the estimate (\*\*) is best possible, in the sense that only the constant  $2\pi$  may be improved.

First we recall some definitions.

Let H be a Hilbert space and B(H) the space of bounded linear operators on H; consider a contraction  $x \in B(H)$ ,  $\|x\| < 1$ ; denote by  $D_x = (1 - x^*x)^{1/2}$ ,  $D_{x^*} = (1 - xx^*)^{1/2}$ . For  $\lambda \in C$ ,  $|\lambda| < 1/\|x\|$ , let

$$\theta_x(\lambda) = D_{x^*}(1 - \lambda x^*)^{-1}(\lambda - x)D_x^{-1} = -x + \sum_{n \ge 1} \lambda^n D_{x^*} x^{*n-1} D_x$$

be the characteristic function of the contraction x (see [2, Chapter VI]). Then  $\theta_x(\lambda)$  is analytic for  $|\lambda| < 1/\|x\|$  and it takes unitary values for  $|\lambda| = 1$ . Also by the Cauchy integral formula we have  $-x = \theta_x(0) = \int_0^1 \theta_x(e^{2\pi it}) dt$ .

Thus, to obtain x as a convex combination of n unitaries, with n as small as possible, we need a good estimate for the norm of  $(d/d\lambda \theta_x)(\lambda)$ . An easy computation shows that  $(d/d\lambda \theta_x)(\lambda) = D_x \cdot (1 - \lambda x^*)^{-2} D_x$ .

Received February 26, 1980. Revision received May 12, 1981.

Michigan Math. J. 28 (1981).