

INEQUALITIES GOVERNING THE OPERATOR RADII ASSOCIATED WITH UNITARY ρ -DILATIONS

John A. R. Holbrook

1. INTRODUCTION

Our purpose in this paper is to present some results concerning the operator radii $w_\rho(T)$. First we recall the pertinent definitions. Suppose T is an operator on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} (in what follows, all Hilbert spaces are complex, and all operators are bounded and linear). We say the operator T belongs to the class C_ρ ($0 < \rho < \infty$) if there exists a unitary operator U on some Hilbert space \mathcal{K} such that \mathcal{H} contains \mathcal{H} as a subspace and such that $T^n h = \rho P_{\mathcal{H}} U^n h$ for all $h \in \mathcal{H}$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foiaş introduced the classes C_ρ in [9] to provide a unified framework for two results that we may state as follows: (i) (see Sz.-Nagy [7]) $T \in C_1$ if and only if $\|T\| \leq 1$; (ii) (see C. A. Berger [1]) $T \in C_2$ if and only if $w(T) \leq 1$, where $w(T)$ denotes the numerical radius of T , that is,

$$w(T) = \sup \{ |(Th, h)| : h \in \mathcal{H} \text{ and } \|h\| = 1 \}.$$

In our paper [5], we defined the operator radii $w_\rho(\cdot)$ ($0 < \rho < \infty$) by the equation

$$w_\rho(T) = \inf \{ u : u > 0 \text{ and } u^{-1}T \in C_\rho \}.$$

Independently, J. P. Williams used the same functions in [11]. The family of operator radii $w_\rho(\cdot)$ includes the familiar operator norms $\|\cdot\|$ ($= w_1(\cdot)$) and $w(\cdot)$ ($= w_2(\cdot)$). We may adjoin the other well-known operator radius, namely, the spectral radius $\nu(\cdot)$, to this family in a natural way: the relation

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} w_\rho(T) = \nu(T)$$

holds, so that we are led to define $w_\infty(T)$ as $\nu(T)$.

These and other known properties of the classes C_ρ and the functions $w_\rho(\cdot)$ are described carefully in Section 2. Sections 3, 4, and 5 contain results that we believe to be new. Experience suggests that $w_\rho(T)$ may be a convex function of ρ (for fixed T) in every case. In Section 3, we obtain results in this direction. For example, we show that if $0 < \rho_1, \rho_2 < 2$ and $F(\rho) = (w(T))^{-1}$, then

$$F((\rho_1 + \rho_2)/2) \geq \lambda F(\rho_1) + (1 - \lambda)F(\rho_2),$$

where $\lambda = (2 - \rho_1)(2 - \rho_1 + 2 - \rho_2)^{-1}$. From this inequality, we deduce that the function $(2 - \rho)(w_\rho(T))^{-1}$ is increasing on $(0, 1]$. Combining this result with the same inequality, we show that $w_\rho(T)$ is indeed convex in the range $(0, 1]$. Section 4 contains convexity results of a less precise nature; there we simply demonstrate the existence of certain convexity constants. In Section 5, we apply the earlier

Received January 28, 1970.

This work was supported in part by AF Grant AFOSR 1322-67.

Michigan Math. J. 18 (1971).