

# Interpolating Varieties and Counting Functions in $\mathbf{C}^n$

CARLOS A. BERENSTEIN, DER-CHEN CHANG,  
& BAO QIN LI

## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we shall study the extension problem of an analytic function satisfying growth conditions on an analytic variety of codimension 1 to an entire function on  $\mathbf{C}^n$  satisfying the same kind of growth conditions, where

$$V = f^{-1}(0) := \{\zeta \in \mathbf{C}^n : f(\zeta) = 0\}$$

defined as the zero set of an entire function  $f$  in a given weighted space. If each analytic function on  $V$  with growth conditions has such a global extension,  $V$  is then called an *interpolating variety* (defined below).

The above interpolation problem has been studied by many authors (see e.g. [Be, De, Oh, Sk]) and is related to harmonic analysis (cf. [BT3]). An open problem [BT2] is to find geometric interpolation conditions that depend only on the geometry of varieties. When  $n = 1$ , it has been shown that  $V$  is an interpolating variety for  $A_p$  (resp.  $A_p^0$ ) if and only if

$$N(|\zeta|, \zeta, V) \leq Ap(\zeta) + B, \quad \zeta \in V, \quad (1.1)$$

for some  $A, B > 0$  (resp.  $N(|\zeta|, \zeta, V) = o\{p(\zeta)\}$ ,  $\zeta \in V$ ,  $\zeta \rightarrow \infty$ ) (see [BL, BLV, Sq]), where  $N(|\zeta|, \zeta, V)$  is the counting function of  $V$  and  $p$  is the given weight (defined below). The advantage of this condition is that one can determine whether  $V$  is an interpolating variety by estimating the geometric quantity  $N(|\zeta|, \zeta, V)$ , which depends only on the “value distribution” of  $V$ . Note that the counting function is one of the most important quantities in studying value distribution of holomorphic mappings in one and several complex variables (see e.g. [Gr]). It is a natural goal to consider whether it could give geometric interpolation conditions in the higher-dimensional case. The paper is concerned with this problem. We shall prove that (1.1) can still be used to give a sufficient interpolation condition. However, a counterexample shows that (1.1) is no longer necessary for interpolation in the higher-dimensional case.

---

Received January 6, 1994. Revision received January 17, 1995.

This research is supported in part by NSF grants DMS-92-25043, DMS-92-06185, and CDR 88-03012.

Michigan Math. J. 42 (1995).