## $L_2$ Cohomology of Pseudoconvex Domains with Complete Kähler Metric

## HAROLD DONNELLY

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded pseudoconvex domain with smooth boundary in  $C^n$ . The Bergman metric of  $\Omega$  is a complete Kähler metric. Every biholomorphic automorphism of  $\Omega$  induces an isometry relative to the Bergman metric. We use  $\mathfrak{K}_2^{p,q}(\Omega)$  to denote the space of square integrable harmonic (p,q) forms, associated to the Bergman metric. The following result was proved several years ago [5].

Theorem 1.1. If  $\Omega$  is strictly pseudoconvex, then

$$\dim \mathfrak{C}_2^{p,\,q}(\Omega) = \begin{cases} 0 & p+q \neq n, \\ \infty & p+q = n. \end{cases}$$

Ohsawa has developed this work by giving both alternative proofs and applications to extension problems in the analysis of several complex variables [11; 12; 13].

More recently, Gromov [7] studied the  $L_2$  cohomology of complete Kähler manifolds. Suppose that M is a complete, simply connected, Kähler manifold. Assume that the Kähler form  $\omega = d\eta$ , where  $\eta$  is bounded in  $L^{\infty}$  norm. Under these hypotheses, Gromov proved this next theorem.

THEOREM 1.2. If M covers a compact manifold, then

$$\dim \mathfrak{JC}_2^{p,q}(M) = \begin{cases} 0 & p+q \neq n, \\ \infty & p+q=n. \end{cases}$$

Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 have analogous conclusions. However, of all strictly pseudoconvex domains in  $C^n$  endowed with Bergman metrics, only the ball covers a compact manifold. Thus, the hypotheses of both theorems are only satisfied in a single example.

The purpose of the present article is to show that, nevertheless, the techniques of Gromov can be employed to give a more transparent proof of Theorem 1.1. Gromov's ideas lead to a sufficient condition for dim  $3C_2^{p,q}(\Omega) = 0$ ,

Received January 20, 1993. Revision received January 14, 1994.

The author was partially supported by NSF Grant DMS-9200225.

Michigan Math. J. 41 (1994).