

# Extensions of Complex Varieties across $C^1$ Manifolds

YEREN XU

## Introduction

More than twenty years ago, Shiffman [Sh] proved that a closed subset  $E$  in an open set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  with zero  $(2k-1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure does not obstruct complex varieties, in the sense that if  $V$  is a  $k$ -dimensional complex variety in  $\Omega \setminus E$  and if  $\bar{V}$  is the closure of  $V$  in  $\Omega$ , then  $\bar{V} \cap \Omega$  is also a  $k$ -dimensional complex variety in  $\Omega$ . Intuitively speaking, the set  $E$  is too small to obstruct the variety  $V$ , since the topological dimension of  $E$  does not exceed  $2k-2$  while the boundary of  $V$  has dimension less than or equal to  $2k-1$ . Later on, Alexander [A] and Becker [Be] considered the case when  $E$  is a real-analytic set with dimension as large as  $2k-1$  but, in addition,  $V$  has certain symmetric properties. In this paper, we consider the following problem: If  $E$  does not obstruct the variety  $V$  topologically (for the precise definition see 1.5 below), is it necessary that  $\bar{V} \cap \Omega$  also be a complex variety? We give an affirmative answer to this question when  $E$  is a  $(2k-1)$ -dimensional  $C^1$  manifold. In a subsequent paper we will show that the same conclusion holds when  $E$  is either a rectifiable curve or a high-dimensional rectifiable set subject to certain requirements. For a general closed set  $E$ , the problem is far from being solved.

The author would like to express his appreciation to Professors Stout and Chirka for their advice.

## 1. Basic Definitions and Main Result

We start with some basic definitions and properties of analytic varieties.

Suppose that  $\Omega$  is an open subset in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . A subset  $V$  of  $\Omega$  is a *complex analytic variety* if, for each point  $p$  in  $\Omega$ , there exists a neighborhood  $U$  of  $p$  and a family of functions that are holomorphic in  $U$  such that  $V \cap U$  is the set of common zeros for the functions in the family.

A complex variety  $V$  is called *irreducible* if it cannot be decomposed as  $V = V_1 \cup V_2$ , where  $V_i$  are two distinct proper subvarieties of  $V$ . A variety is irreducible if and only if the set of its regular points,  $V_{\text{reg}} = V - V_{\text{sing}}$ , is a connected set. The irreducible components of the variety  $V$  are the sets  $\bar{W}_i$ , where the sets  $\{W_i\}$  are the connected components of  $V_{\text{reg}}$ .