

# THE REFLEXIVITY OF CONTRACTIONS WITH NONREDUCTIVE \*-RESIDUAL PARTS

Katsutoshi Takahashi

Let  $T$  be a contraction on a separable Hilbert space, and suppose that there exist an isometry  $V (\neq 0)$  and a (bounded linear) operator  $Y$  with dense range such that  $YT = VY$ , which means that the contraction  $T$  is not of class  $C_0$ , that is,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^n x\| \neq 0$  for some  $x$  (cf. [6, Proposition II.3.5]). If  $V$  is non-unitary, then it is easily seen that every point in the open unit disc  $D = \{\lambda : |\lambda| < 1\}$  is an eigenvalue of  $T^*$ , and so  $T$  has many invariant subspaces. It was proved in [2] that  $T$  is even reflexive in this case. But, in the case in which  $V$  is unitary, it is not yet known whether such a  $T$  always has a nontrivial invariant subspace (cf. [8]). In a recent paper [5], Kérchy has proved that if  $V$  is a bilateral shift then  $T$  has a nontrivial invariant subspace, and under the additional assumption that  $T$  is of class  $C_{11}$  (i.e.,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^n x\| \neq 0$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^{*n} x\| \neq 0$  for every nonzero  $x$ ),  $T$  is reflexive. The purpose of the present note is to prove a reflexivity theorem which extends these results.

For an operator  $T$ , let  $\text{Alg } T$  denote the weakly closed algebra generated by  $T$  and the identity  $I$ . Let  $\text{Lat } T$  and  $\text{Alg Lat } T$  denote the lattice of all invariant subspaces for  $T$  and the algebra of all operators  $A$  such that  $\text{Lat } T \subseteq \text{Lat } A$ , respectively. Recall that  $T$  is reflexive if  $\text{Alg } T = \text{Alg Lat } T$ .

**THEOREM.** *If  $T$  is a contraction on a separable Hilbert space and there exists an operator  $Y$  with dense range such that  $YT = WY$  for some bilateral shift  $W (\neq 0)$ , then  $T$  is reflexive.*

The proof of [1, Theorem 5] shows that in the proof of our Theorem it suffices to consider the case where  $T$  is completely non-unitary, that is, where  $T$  has no nonzero invariant subspace on which it acts as a unitary operator.

Let  $T$  be a completely non-unitary contraction. We use the functional model of Sz.-Nagy and Foiaş [6] for  $T$ . Let  $\Theta$  be the characteristic function of  $T$ ; thus  $\Theta$  is an operator-valued  $H^\infty$ -function on the unit circle  $\partial D$  whose values are contractions from  $\mathfrak{D}$  to  $\mathfrak{D}_*$ , where  $\mathfrak{D} = (\text{ran}(I - T^*T))^\perp$  and  $\mathfrak{D}_* = (\text{ran}(I - TT^*))^\perp$ . We set

$$\Delta(\zeta) = (I - \Theta(\zeta)^* \Theta(\zeta))^{1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_*(\zeta) = (I - \Theta(\zeta) \Theta(\zeta)^*)^{1/2}$$

for  $\zeta \in \partial D$  and consider  $T$  being defined on the space

$$H(\Theta) = [H^2(\mathfrak{D}_*) \oplus (\Delta L^2(\mathfrak{D}))^\perp] \ominus \{\Theta h \oplus \Delta h : h \in H^2(\mathfrak{D})\}$$

by

$$(1) \quad T(f \oplus g) = P(\chi f \oplus \chi g),$$

---

Received May 13, 1986.

Michigan Math. J. 34 (1987).