

# ON THE REFLEXIVITY OF ALGEBRAS AND LINEAR SPACES OF OPERATORS

H. Bercovici, C. Foiaş, and C. Pearcy

*This paper is dedicated to our good friend George Piranian  
on the occasion of his retirement*

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a complex Hilbert space (of arbitrary dimension), and let  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  denote the algebra of bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Among the useful topologies on  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  are the weak\* topology (sometimes called the ultraweak operator topology) and the weak operator topology. If  $\mathfrak{M}$  is any linear manifold in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ , then  $\mathfrak{M}$  inherits these two topologies. A linear functional on  $\mathfrak{M}$  that is continuous in the weak\* [resp., weak operator] topology will be called a *weak\** [resp., *weakly*] *continuous functional*. If  $\mathfrak{M}$  is closed in the weak operator topology, we will call  $\mathfrak{M}$  a *weakly closed subspace*. One knows from the Hahn-Banach theorem that every weak\* [resp., weakly] continuous functional on  $\mathfrak{M}$  has the form  $[\phi] = \phi | \mathfrak{M}$  where  $\phi$  is a weak\* [resp., weakly] continuous functional on  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ . In this paper we will be concerned mostly with weakly continuous functionals, and therefore we remind the reader that every such functional on  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is a finite sum of functionals of the form  $x \otimes y$  with  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ , where

$$(x \otimes y)(A) = \langle Ax, y \rangle, \quad A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}).$$

(Weak\* continuous functionals on  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  have the form  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \otimes y_n$ , but this fact will not be needed herein.)

Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a linear manifold in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ . As in [11], we will use the notation  $\text{Ref}(\mathfrak{M})$  for the set of all operators  $X$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $Xy \in (\mathfrak{M}y)^{\perp}$  for every  $y$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ . The subspace  $(\mathfrak{M}y)^{\perp}$  will be referred to (somewhat improperly) as the *cyclic space* for  $\mathfrak{M}$  *generated* by  $y$ . The following concept of reflexivity was introduced by Loginov and Sulman in [4].

**DEFINITION 1.** A linear manifold  $\mathfrak{M} \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is said to be *reflexive* if  $\text{Ref}(\mathfrak{M}) = \mathfrak{M}$ .

It is easy to verify that  $\text{Ref}(\mathfrak{M}) = \text{Alg Lat}(\mathfrak{M})$  if  $\mathfrak{M}$  is an algebra containing  $1_{\mathcal{H}}$ , and for such algebras the above definition gives the usual one of reflexive algebras. Note, however, that  $\mathfrak{M} = \{0\}$  is reflexive as a subspace but not as an algebra.

In this paper we study the relationship between the reflexivity of a linear manifold  $\mathfrak{M}$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  and the structure of the weakly continuous functionals on  $\mathfrak{M}$ . The following definition is pertinent to the kind of structure we have in mind.

---

Received November 7, 1984.

Research for this paper was supported in part by grants from the National Science Foundation.

Michigan Math. J. 33 (1986).