

# A UNIVALENCY CRITERION

J. M. Anderson and A. Hinkkanen

*Dedicated to George Piranian*

**1. Introduction.** Let  $f$  be a meromorphic and locally univalent function in the upper half-plane  $U$ , that is,  $f'(z) \neq 0$  and any pole of  $f$  is simple. It is natural, when looking for criteria which imply the univalence of  $f$ , to introduce the Schwarzian derivative  $S(f, z)$ , defined by

$$S(f, z) = \left( \frac{f''}{f'} \right)'(z) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{f''}{f'} \right)^2(z).$$

We shall use the notation

$$U = \{z: \operatorname{Im} z > 0\}, \quad L = \{z: \operatorname{Im} z < 0\}, \quad B(z, r) = \{w: |w - z| \leq r\}.$$

If  $f$  can be extended to a local homeomorphism  $F$  defined on the whole sphere  $\bar{C}$  then  $f$  will be univalent in  $U$ . This method for establishing univalence was emphasized by Ahlfors in [1], where he gave extensions and alternative derivations of many known criteria for univalence. If  $F$  is differentiable at  $z = z_0$ , say, the condition  $|F_{\bar{z}}| < |F_z|$  for  $z = z_0$  ensures that the Jacobian of  $F$  is not zero at  $z_0$  and hence that  $F$  is a local homeomorphism at  $z_0$ . The stronger condition  $|F_{\bar{z}}| \leq k|F_z|$  for all  $z \in L$ , where  $0 < k < 1$ , says that  $f$  has a  $k$ -quasiconformal extension to  $L$ . This is not the standard terminology, but agrees with that used by Ahlfors in [1]. Thus for  $0 < k < 1$ , a  $k$ -quasiconformal mapping is one whose maximal dilatation does not exceed  $(1+k)/(1-k)$ . Ahlfors has proved the following result [1, p. 29].

**THEOREM A.** *Suppose that  $0 < k < 1$ ,  $|c-1| \leq k$  and  $y = \operatorname{Im} z$ . If  $f$  is meromorphic and locally univalent in  $U$  and such that*

$$(1.1) \quad \left| 2y^2 S(f, z) - c(c-1) \left( \frac{\bar{z} + it}{z + it} \right)^2 \right| \leq k|c|$$

*for all  $z \in U$  and some  $t > 0$ , then  $f$  is univalent in  $U$  and has a  $k$ -quasiconformal extension to  $\bar{C}$ .*

The case  $c = 1$  is the half-plane version of the well-known criterion of Nehari [4] and Ahlfors and Weill [2]. As Ahlfors remarks [1, p. 29], the criterion (1.1), depending as it does on establishing that the values of  $y^2 S(f, z)$  lie in a variable disk, seems too complicated to be useful. Ahlfors let  $t \rightarrow \infty$  in (1.1) and asked if

---

Received March 8, 1984.

The first author thanks the University of California at San Diego for its kind hospitality during the preparation of this paper. The second author's research was supported by the Osk. Huttunen Foundation, Helsinki.

Michigan Math. J. 32 (1985).