

ON THE UNITARY EQUIVALENCE OF CLOSE C^* -ALGEBRAS

Mahmood Khoshkam

Introduction. A central question in the theory of perturbations of C^* -algebras is to determine which C^* -algebras A satisfy the following property: Every C^* -algebra B “sufficiently close” to A is unitarily equivalent to it (cf. [3], [4], [11]). In this paper we use “Ext” theory in order to find new C^* -algebras with this property.

Let D be a separable C^* -subalgebra of a C^* -algebra C and suppose that D is an extension of a C^* -algebra A by a C^* -algebra I . Under certain assumptions on A and I we show that if D' is a C^* -subalgebra of C , “sufficiently close” to D , then D and D' are unitarily equivalent. To that end, we prove that D' is also an extension of A by I and show that these two extensions are unitarily equivalent. This second problem is dealt with by viewing the two extensions of A by I given by D and D' through the six-term exact sequence of K -theory associated with the two extensions, using the Rosenberg and Schochet universal coefficient formula (cf. [13]) and Theorem 2.11 of [9].

I would like to express my gratitude to G. Skandalis for his numerous helpful suggestions.

NOTATIONS. Throughout this paper H will denote a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space. $\mathcal{L}(H)$ is the C^* -algebra of bounded linear operators on H and $K(H)$ is the C^* -algebra of compact operators. If A is a C^* -algebra $M(A)$ denotes the multiplier algebra of A .

The distance between the C^* -subalgebras A and B of a C^* -algebra C is defined by

$$d(A, B) = \text{Max} \left\{ \sup_{a \in A_1} \inf_{b \in B_1} \|a - b\|; \sup_{b \in B_1} \inf_{a \in A_1} \|a - b\| \right\},$$

where A_1 and B_1 denote the unit balls of A and B respectively.

1. Some results from the theory of extensions. Here we recall some facts about Kasparov’s bi-functor $\text{Ext}(A, B)$ (cf. [8]).

1.1. Let A be a separable nuclear C^* -algebra and B a C^* -algebra with countable approximate unit. An (A, B) extension is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow B \otimes K(H) \rightarrow D \xrightarrow{\phi} A \rightarrow 0.$$

Such an extension will be denoted by the pair (D, ϕ) . We note that (cf. [2]) such extensions are in one-to-one correspondence with $*$ -homomorphisms $\sigma: A \rightarrow M(B \otimes K(H))/B \otimes K(H)$. Two extensions σ_1 and σ_2 are said to be unitarily equivalent (write $\sigma_1 \sim \sigma_2$) if there exists a unitary $u \in M(B \otimes K(H))$ such

Received June 13, 1984. Final revision received July 23, 1984.
Michigan Math. J. 31 (1984).