

EVERY CRUMPLED n -CUBE IS A CLOSED n -CELL-COMPLEMENT

Robert J. Daverman

Often it is a convenient simplification, in studying the wildness of $(n - 1)$ -spheres topologically embedded in the n -sphere S^n , to suppose that the wildness is confined to one complementary domain, in that the closure of the other complementary domain is an n -cell. The principal result here, Theorem 6.1, shows that for $n \geq 5$ this simplification has validity in a stronger setting: for each crumpled n -cube C in S^n and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an ε -homeomorphism h of C into S^n such that the closure of $S^n - h(C)$ is an n -cell. For $n = 3$ the same result has been established by Hosay [16] and Lininger [17].

A *crumpled n -cube* C is a space homeomorphic to the union of an $(n - 1)$ -sphere in S^n and one of its complementary domains; the subset of C consisting of those points at which C is an n -manifold (without boundary) is called the *interior* of C , written $\text{Int } C$, and the subset $C - \text{Int } C$, which corresponds to the given $(n - 1)$ -sphere, is called the *boundary* of C , written $\text{Bd } C$. A crumpled n -cube C is a *closed n -cell-complement* if there exists an embedding h of C in S^n such that $S^n - h(\text{Int } C)$ (equivalently, the closure of $S^n - h(C)$) is an n -cell. Translated into this terminology, the principal result implies that for $n \geq 5$ each crumpled n -cube is a closed n -cell-complement (Corollary 6.4).

Besides validating this simplification, the paper supports the opposite process permitting the construction of complexities. To describe the construction, we look first at a standard situation: any $(n - 1)$ -sphere Σ in S^n bounds two crumpled n -cubes C_0 and C_1 , and fastening C_0 and C_1 (abstractly conceived) back together along their boundaries in an appropriate way reproduces S^n , with both $\text{Bd } C_0$ and $\text{Bd } C_1$ identified as Σ . Generally, such an attaching is called a *sewing*; specifically, a *sewing* of two crumpled n -cubes C_0 and C_1 is a homeomorphism between their boundaries, and associated with a sewing h is the *sewing space*, denoted as $C_0 \cup_h C_1$, which is the quotient space obtained from the disjoint union of C_0 and C_1 under identification of each point x in $\text{Bd } C_0$ with its image $h(x)$ in $\text{Bd } C_1$. To construct $(n - 1)$ -spheres in S^n with wildness in both complementary domains, one first can select crumpled n -cubes C_0 and C_1 , together with a sewing h , and then can hope to prove that $C_0 \cup_h C_1$ is homeomorphic to S^n . The ultimate problem, determining whether a given sewing space $C_0 \cup_h C_1$ is topologically equivalent to S^n , can be an intricate and complex puzzle, about which [14] supplies much information. In any event, the results here (see Corollary 6.7) imply that the sewing space $C_0 \cup_h C_1$ is homeomorphic to a decomposition space associated with a decomposition of S^n into points and flat arcs, thereby reducing the sewing problem to a decomposition problem.

Instrumental for the approach used here is Ancel and Cannon's recent solution [1] of the Locally Flat Approximation Theorem, which states that each embedding of an $(n - 1)$ -manifold in an n -manifold ($n \geq 5$) can be approximated arbitrarily closely by locally flat embeddings. Earlier Bryant, Edwards and Seebeck [5] had devised a

Received December 18, 1975. Revision received July 16, 1976.
Research supported in part by NSF Grant GP-33872A3.

Michigan Math. J. 24 (1977).