## AN INFINITE-DIMENSIONAL VERSION OF LIAPUNOV'S CONVEXITY THEOREM

## Vladimir Drobot

The classical theorem of Liapunov asserts that the range of a finite measure with values in a finite-dimensional vector space is convex and closed (see [1], [2], [3], [4]). In his later paper [5], Liapunov gives an example of an  $L_1$ -valued measure whose range is compact but not convex. In this note, we prove a weaker version of Liapunov's theorem, where the measure takes values in a Hilbert space and is absolutely continuous with respect to a numerical measure.

Let  $(S, \mathscr{F}, \mu)$  denote a measure space, where  $\mu$  is a positive, nonatomic measure with  $\mu(S) = 1$ , and let H denote a real Hilbert space with the inner product (x, y) and norm  $\|x\|$ .

THEOREM. Let  $f: S \to H$  be an integrable function (that is,  $\int \|f\| d\mu < \infty$ ), and let R = R(f) be the set of all vectors of the form  $\int_E f d\mu$  ( $E \in \mathcal{F}$ ). Then  $\overline{R}$  is convex.

The proof is motivated by a method due to Halkin [2] who considered the finite-dimensional case only. We need several lemmas.

LEMMA 1. Let  $\{x_1', x_2', \cdots, x_N'\}$  be a collection of N vectors in H such that  $\sum x_i' = 0$ . Then the  $x_i'$  can be rearranged to form a set  $\{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_N\}$  such that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \right\|^{2} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|x_{i}\|^{2} \quad (1 \leq n \leq N).$$

*Proof.* We choose  $x_1$  arbitrarily. Having chosen  $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n$ , we select  $x_{n+1}$  to be one of the remaining vectors with the property that

$$(x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n, x_{n+1}) \le 0.$$

Such a choice is always possible, because

$$0 = \left(\sum_{1}^{N} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{\prime}, \sum_{1}^{N} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{\prime}\right) = \left(\sum_{1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_{i}, \sum_{1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_{i}\right) + 2 \sum_{j=n+1}^{N} \left(\sum_{1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}_{j}^{\prime}\right) + \left(\sum_{n+1}^{N} \mathbf{x}_{j}^{\prime}, \sum_{n+1}^{N} \mathbf{x}_{j}^{\prime}\right).$$

Since the first and the last inner products are nonnegative, at least one summand in the middle term must be nonpositive. Our arrangement of the  $\mathbf{x}_j$  gives us the equations

Received January 13, 1970.

Michigan Math. J. 17 (1970).