IMPROPER AFFINE HYPERSPHERES OF CONVEX TYPE AND A GENERALIZATION OF A THEOREM BY K. JÖRGENS

Eugenio Calabi

1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this article is to derive certain inequalities satisfied by the elliptic solutions of the nonlinear partial differential equation

(1.1)
$$\det\left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^i \partial x^j}\right) = 1$$

with n independent variables $(x) = (x^1, \dots, x^n)$. An elliptic solution of (1.1) is a function $u = f(x) = f(x^1, \dots, x^n)$ whose Hessian matrix (symmetric matrix of second derivatives) is definite at each point; that is to say, u is locally either a convex or a concave function (the latter can occur only if n is even) of (x): without loss of generality we shall consider only convex solutions.

The motivation for studying (1.1) is that it represents a special but significant case of the more general equation

(1.1a)
$$\det\left(\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{u}}{\partial \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{i}} \partial \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{j}}}\right) = \phi(\mathbf{x}),$$

where $\phi(x)$ is a given, positive-valued function; this last equation occurs, for instance, as a result of an elementary transformation of the one arising from the Minkowski problem on closed, convex hypersurfaces in Euclidean (n+1)-space. The outstanding question about the regularity of weak solutions of the Minkowski problem is reduced to the question, if the kth partial derivatives of $\phi(x)$ in (1.1a) satisfy a Hölder condition, whether there exists a convex solution u of (1.1a), locally at least, and whether any such solution has all of its (k+2)nd partial derivatives satisfying a Hölder condition.

It has been shown that (1.1a) has at most one convex solution u in a bounded domain D, if the boundary values of u are prescribed; however a necessary condition for the existence of such a solution with arbitrarily given, but smooth, boundary values is that the domain D be strictly convex. Aside from this, in order to establish the existence of a solution of the boundary value problem by the method of continuity, one requires some *a priori* estimates of the bounds for u, for its second partial derivatives, and for their Hölder constants, in terms of the domain D, the function $\phi(x)$, the boundary data, and their Hölder constants.

The main results of this article (wishfully the first of a series), viewed in the context of the more general problem, provide information on the *a priori* bounds, irrespective of the boundary values of u; the dependence of the bounds on the properties of $\phi(x)$ is set aside for the time being, by considering equation (1.1) instead of the more general one (1.1a). Stated briefly, the inequalities established in the present

Received October 6, 1957.

This article was written while the author was supported financially by a research grant from the National Science Foundation.