

CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE THEORY OF CONVEX BODIES

Herbert Knothe

1. GENERALIZATION OF THE PRINCIPAL THEOREM OF BRUNN AND MINKOWSKI

The Brunn-Minkowski theorem on closed convex bodies in n -dimensional Euclidean space can be extended by introducing a suitably defined logarithmically convex functional $\rho_K(\vec{x})$. In the present paper we give a proof of such an extension which was announced orally by the author [4], some years ago. Similarly to the way in which the Brunn-Minkowski theorem provides a means for deriving the isoperimetric inequality, our extension leads to a more general inequality. The functional $\rho_K(\vec{x})$ shall be a local function, in the interior and on the boundary of a convex body K , which depends not only on the point \vec{x} (\vec{x} denotes a local vector), but also on K . This dependence shall satisfy the following four conditions.

1. Continuity: If $K_1 \rightarrow K_2$ and $\vec{x}_1 \rightarrow \vec{x}_2$, then

$$\rho_{K_1}(\vec{x}_1) \rightarrow \rho_{K_2}(\vec{x}_2).$$

Here the statement $K_1 \rightarrow K_2$ means that the distance between two parallel directed support planes of K_1 and K_2 tends to zero for all directions of these planes.

2. Homogeneity: If $\lambda K + \vec{a}$ denotes a body which is obtained by applying to K a similarity transformation λK and a translation characterized by the vector \vec{a} , then the relation

$$\rho_{\lambda K + \vec{a}}(\lambda \vec{x} + \vec{a}) = \lambda^m \rho_K(\vec{x})$$

shall hold.

3. Logarithmic convexity: If

$$K_\theta = (1 - \theta)K_1 + \theta K_2 \quad (0 \leq \theta \leq 1)$$

denotes the linear combination of K_1, K_2 in the Brunn-Minkowski sense, the inequality

$$(1) \quad \log \rho_{K_\theta} \{ (1 - \theta)\vec{x}_1 + \theta\vec{x}_2 \} \geq (1 - \theta) \log \rho_{K_1}(\vec{x}_1) + \theta \log \rho_{K_2}(\vec{x}_2)$$

shall hold, where \vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2 are arbitrarily chosen points of K_1, K_2 , respectively.

4. Nonnegativeness:

$$(2) \quad \rho_K(\vec{x}) \geq 0.$$

It is easily shown that (2) implies that $\rho_K(\vec{x})$ can vanish only at a boundary point.

Example 1. Let $a = \rho_K(\vec{x})$ denote the shortest distance of \vec{x} from the boundary of K . It is evident that a satisfies the conditions 1, 2, 4. We shall prove that