Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic Volume V, Number 4, October 1964

## MODAL SYSTEM S4.4

## BOLESŁAW SOBOCIŃSKI

It is known that Group II of Lewis-Langford, cf. [3], p. 493, i.e. the matrices #1 and  $\#2^1$ 

		C	1	2	3	4	N		Þ	М	L		Þ	Μ	L
<b>H</b> 1	*	1	1	2	3	4	4	,#12	1	1	1	*	1	1	1
		2	1	1	3	3	3		2	2	4 <b>H</b> 3	2	1	4	
		3	1	2	1	2	2		3	1	3		3	1	4
		4	1	1	1	1	1		4	4	4		4	4	4

which falsify the proper axiom of S5:

C11 (MpLMp)

(i.e. C11\* *©MLpLp*)

are such that besides system S4, they verify several consequences of S5 which are unprovable in the former system, as, e.g., the formulas:

G1 ©MLpLMp D2 ALCLpqLCLqp M1 ©©©pLpLpCMLpLp N1 ©©©pLppCMLpp

The theses G1 and D2 are the proper axioms of the well-known systems S4.2 and S4.3 respectively, cf. [2], [1], [6], and [11]. In [2], p. 263, Dummett and Lemmon have proved that M1, i.e. their formula (8), does not hold in S4.3. Prior, [6], p. 139, pointed out that Geach showed that in the field of S4.2 theses M1 and N1 are equivalent.

As one can easily notice  $\mathfrak{All}$  and  $\mathfrak{All}$  verify also the following two formulas

 $R1 \quad (i.e. R1^* \quad (NpCMpLMp))$ 

and

V1 ALpALCpqLCpNq

It is clear that R1 is a weaker form of C11\* (i.e. of C11), but, as  $\mathfrak{AII}$  and  $\mathfrak{AII}2$  show, in the field of S4 it does not imply S5. On the other hand

Received September 29, 1964