

A NOTE ON THE GENERALIZED CONTINUUM HYPOTHESIS. I

BOLESŁAW SOBOCIŃSKI

It is well-known¹ that the following set-theoretical formulas:

A For any cardinal numbers m and n , if $m = n$, then $2^m = 2^n$

B For any cardinal numbers m and n , if $2^m < 2^n$, then $m < n$

C For any cardinal numbers m and n , if $m < n$, then $2^m < 2^n$

D For any cardinal numbers m and n , if $2^m = 2^n$, then $m = n$

are such that a) *A* is provable without any difficulty in the general set theory,² b) we do not know whether it is possible to prove *B* without the help of the axiom of choice, and that c) we are unable to prove *C* and *D* without the aid of the generalized continuum hypothesis, i.e. the formula:

\mathfrak{U} If m is a cardinal number which is not finite, then there exists no cardinal n such that $m < n < 2^m$

which, as we know,³ is equivalent to

\mathfrak{B} The axiom of choice

taken in conjunction with the formula

\mathfrak{C} for any ordinal number α , $2^{\aleph_\alpha} = \aleph_{\alpha+1}$

i.e. Cantor's hypothesis on alephs.

In this note I present several sets of assumptions such that

α) each of these sets is equivalent either to \mathfrak{U} or to \mathfrak{C} .

β) almost each of these sets contains either formula *C* or formula *D* or a certain instance of one of these formulas.

In the considerations given below I am using constantly several of my results published in [6], and, especially, that formula \mathfrak{C} , i.e. Cantor's hypothesis on alephs, is equivalent to the conjunction of the following two formulas:

Received August 16, 1962