378 Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic Volume XII, Number 3, July 1971 NDJFAM

EXAMINATION OF THE AXIOMATIC FOUNDATIONS OF A THEORY OF CHANGE. IV

LAURENT LAROUCHE

Third Part*

§4

§4. Consistency of the axiomatic system. In order to establish the consistency of our axiom system, it is important to make first the following remarks:

1. The predicate calculus which we have chosen, is consistent. (The proof is given in [13] pp. 93-95.)

2. An expression σ (respectively a set *E* of expressions) is said to be "satisfiable" if there exists some non-empty domain ω of individuals such that σ (respectively *E*) is satisfiable in ω .

3. If a predicate calculus is consistent, so is every satisfiable set of expressions.

4. It is then sufficient here to show that there exists a non-empty domain ω of individuals such that the set of our axioms is satisfiable in ω .

The model shall consist of:

I. a) a domain S of individuals for momentaneous subjects. Let R be the following subset of the set of rational numbers:

 $R = \{n \mid n \text{ is a rational number and } 0 \le n \le 2\}.$

Let then

$$S = \{a_i, b_i, c_i\},\$$

where $i \in R$ and $\neq a_i, a_j, \neq b_i, b_j, \neq c_i, c_j$, for $i, j \in R$ and $i \neq j$, and

^{*}The first, second, and third parts of this paper appeared in *Notre Dame Journal* of Formal Logic, vol. IX (1968), pp. 371-384, vol. X (1969), pp. 277-284, and vol. X (1969), pp. 385-409, respectively. They will be referred to throughout the remaining parts as [I], [II], and [III]. See additional References given at the end of this part.